



FEDERATION LUXEMBOURGEOISE DE NATURISME

association sans but lucratif

Affiliée à la Fédération Naturiste Internationale INF-FNI

Boîte Postale 1236 - L-1012 Luxembourg
Internet: <http://homepage.internet.lu/felunat>

E-mail: info.felunat@gmail.com

Compte Chèque Postal Luxembourg (Bic: CCPLULL) IBAN LU51 1111 0814 4360 0000

Minutes of the interfederal Meeting 2010 in Luxembourg from the 4th to the 7th of March 2010 Present (12) and *represented* (1) Federations

	Federations	Abbreviation	Delegate
AT	ÖNV	LI	Ladislaus IVO
BE	FBN	PL	Paul LAMBRECHTS
CH	UNS-SNU	EZ	Edit ZIMMERMANN
DE	DFK	KF	Kurt FISCHER
ES	FEN	SH	Sook-Hwa
FR	FFN	PR	Paul RÉTHORÉ
GB	BN	MF	Michael FARRAR
HU	FENHU	JS	János SÁNDOR
IT	FENAIT	GR	Gianfranco RIBOLZI
LU	FLN	AC	Armand CEOLIN
NL	NFN	HS	Hank SMEEMAN
PT	FPN		<i>Represented by FEN</i>
SE	SNF	EE	Eirik EISENE
JP	President of	the	Meeting
			Excused Federations
IE	INA		Irland
SI	ZDNS		Slowenien
	EuNatCom	IR	Ismael Rodrigo
	EuNatCom	RV	Roger Viola
	EuNatCom	SGH	Sebastian G. Heuft
			INF (Invited)
	INF	SI	Sieglinde IVO
	INF	GV	George VOLAK
	INF	CvH	Cor van HERP
	INF	MA	Mick AYERS

The given references are related to the agenda points A, B, C as well as points 1 to 35, see Appendix 1. The motion numbers are those reproduced in Appendix 2. The used abbreviations for delegates are resulting from the column Abr.

The presentations of the motions are not reproduced in this text, because they are well known by each of you. (Only the comments)

Discussions without microphone are only written down, when they can be attributed to a specific delegate in the sound track.

(23:15-1 = Time of sound track) - (23:15-1 = N° of sound track) Total: 13

**The 35th interfederal Meeting is conducted by
Jean PETERS, President of FLN (JP)
05.03.2010**

Point A.

JP opens the meeting at 09:45, welcomes all delegates, INF representatives as well as all accompanists and expects a fair cooperation so that we can reach our goals that we intended. He regrets that the federations not listed in the above table had never answered several requests from the FLN since the beginning of preparation for this meeting! Communication should be improved so that all federations are well aware of the motions and decisions we are taking. It's only together that we are strong, representative and can, not move the world, but obtain something constructive in the interest of naturism.

SI Welcomes everybody in Luxembourg and thanks Jean PETERS for the excellent preparation work for this meeting and mentions at the beginning that EuNat does not exist for time being, but that INF is willing to assist us so that the commission becomes reality. She will come back to this point of the agenda later on, where either Cor, Mick or I will answer the upcoming questions.

Point B.

The president notices that 12 federations are present and that Portugal is represented by Spain. (*Written document present*) Ireland and Slovenia are excused. No questions about this. (*This means 13 federations with 163 votes of 188 possible ones*)

Point C.

(not needed)

Point 1. Motion DFK 9.1 (Limitation of speaking time, item 1+2)

KF reads his motion.

He remarks that item 2 is no longer valid due to the presence of point 8 of the agenda and withdraws it. No more questions.

Point 2. Report from the coordinator, Ismael RODRIGO

IR says, *that there is no need to read it, because the content is known by all*)

He mentions 3 main points for EuNatCom. Based on a document of Kurt, he says that the commission is only responsible for the budget and for noting else and that EuNatCom is only another financial commission. The youth is no more represented within the CC, only European Youth is represented there. He means that EuNatCom is something like a European federation or something similar. This new organization is a problem for the CC concerning quality. 13-35-1

Ismael, now differing to his motion, mentions that the preceding European Naturist federation almost bankrupted the INF. (see FEN Motion)

He continues with the point (Proposal of Roger and Ismael) and justifies herewith his motion and terminates his presentation. 17:53-1

(no questions from the audience)

Point 3. Motion DFK 9.2 (Answer to Ismael)

(..Discussion in the room without microphone..)

Zwischenruf aus dem Saal, ziemlich unverständlich, da ohne Mikrofon.

JP: KF has to present his motion now, questions afterwards.

KF: I hope that it will work better in this meeting than it did in Laborde or Brazil, because all delegates had the possibility to study all documents. KF means, that after the report of Ismael, an urgent answer is due, because there are more misunderstandings after his report about what he exactly wants. 19:46-1

Differing from his motion, he says that GV has confirmed that there would be ways of letting the sport officer participate to the CC meetings. I would like to inform you that the DFK never asked for this. That's a result of different letters between different people. A correction: Point 2 should read Article 6. **7**, and not 6.3.

To proposal A, page 2, last line, (*or the idea of IR to another point of the agenda: to create a other commission..*) That is a job for the INF, not for us. 30:41-1

After **proposal B**, KF means: The European Union created somewhere in the year 2000 is still in mind of everyone, but exactly this should not happen. 31:43-1

Under **proposal C**, 2, section **2**. Kurt says: we have heard that this is a matter of costs, comprehensible for me. Well, up to this event budget, they were always member of the CC. Just to let you know.

Under **proposal C**, article 1. Kurt adds: That's all what a PR-officer could do or move within European institutions. We should not overestimate ourselves with our organization. To **IR**, he says: The internal rules for the EuNatCom were missing? Why?

Comments: 36:32-1

GR: We are here to understand what happened during the last year and what these 3 members of EuNatCom have done. I am asking myself what they have done since 2009. That's my problem, if I don't understand what they have done, I can't decide about the future. You had one year to do the job, that's my position. This is not a political or strategic position or (... unreadable..) What have you done last year?

JP: Asks GR to wait for the presentation of the other reports before asking questions.

38:19-1 (*..Discussion in the room without microphone..*)

GR: Ismael is the coordinator of the European idea and he must say what he has done during the year. That's my position. 39 :30

GV: Il would like to make just one clarification. Last year in Laborde (France) in the interfederal meeting, when Siegelinde resigned as the representative of the youth and Roger from the CC and the same thing for Roger for the sports, I did say that Roger will be invited to the next CC meeting and he was invited. The actual CC meeting was in Thionville here in France, in his home town, and I want to clarify that Roger came to that meeting. 40:24-1

SI: I thank Kurt for his speech, but I think before continuing with the discussions, we should first talk about the proposal of Jean Peters, proposal for internal rules of the EuNatCom and accept them. It makes no sense discussing about many things that would be worthless if the proposal is not accepted.

JP: You are right, Siegelinde, that was my proposal to GR. First wait for all reports and then talk about it. 41:10-1

KF: Siegelinde, that's the problem, if delays are prescribed, reports are sent and they are more and more modified by the agenda timing. That's why I said at the beginning: I hope that every one of you has read all documents. If all had confirmed that, my comments would not have been necessary. It was only information that should not lead to discussions, there you are completely right. 41:50-1

Point 4. Activity report from the Youth officer 42:12

(Because SGH did not sent any report to the FLN, it is reproduced here completely)

SGH: There were not many activities during last year. Of course, we had the youth meeting, that was before my time and held at the same time that the interfederal. Was well organized by FENAIT, GR managed this event personally. The summer camp did not take place due to a lack of time. Business priorities occurred, and therefore I had no time to occupy myself about certain things. That was, as I already expected or was afraid of during the interfederal meeting, impossible then. The proposal of János, who always arranges this for his federation, is still valid. I think that we will have an agreement and the summer camp will take place this year in Hungary. I suppose it will be so. For the upcoming year, we have signed a convention for the INF springtime camp, which will be held during the traditional ascension holidays in Germany. We already proposed this during the preceding year and decided this with the active youth federation. As announced during the last year, there will be a constitutional meeting, where active youth representatives will be elected, that means: Were my position will be legalized by the youth and I will be therefore automatically represented here, as decided last year in Laborde. That's where most energy is put in now. The preparations for the summer camp are excellent from the German point of view. There are still things to be done, but we could start it already theoretically next week. We are in contact with other federations, the response is as usual. (...unreadable..). Ok, we intend to start in April. We got some responses where some information is still missing. 45:29-1

Beside that, I sent a mail to you where we published our network of contact persons within the youth and people, who are active in the federations for youth duties. Once again the request: If you see any discrepancies in our youth connections, please advice me! It makes no sense transmitting blind. If you have relative information, just tell me.

The registration has not, as foreseen, been published in December, but in the middle of January and the French version is still missing, we have problems with it. We reached all who were present during the last years, so we know that it is sufficient with the English version. In the active youth groups of the federations we always had possibilities for translating in German and French, but this has disappeared during the last 10 years, there are only some exceptions, where rules must be translated accordingly. The meetings are mainly held in English language. The major action point is actually the preparation of the rules, the way we would like to work together and my wish is that we

should respect our decisions that were taken last year, an active youth that is governing themselves, communicating and who decides themselves what to do, in accordance with the INF statutes. They have to be applied as they are and I, as the representative of the youth, have to report about it to you, of course.

It is up to you, giving the framework for that, to approve the things what we do. I have some flyers with me, where the whole concept is described, which come from the youth, but I have only 10 with me. I could send them to you, but there are no changes. The youth can only do a proper job, when it is influenced by the youth and that there are possibilities to participate. Part of that, I am expecting constructive discussions. It is very important for me that the youth can be very active here and I must say honestly that the commission on a European basis is very valuable for the youth with a youth and sport officer and a third person with different tasks. It is not relevant for the youth if this is done by means of the European commission or an INF commission. The INF has the stamp we want to promote with the youth and maybe tasks are done, maybe easier, within a small circle in Europe. One remark: We always have some extra-European visitors at the youth meetings, but only a few. The son of Barbara Bradley was once present; we had some people from Israel, so absolute exceptions. This was my report. I did not have the time to write it down and send it to you. Any questions? 50:42-1

SI: Yes, Sebastian, thank you for your report. I don't partially agree with your explanations, because you mentioned last year in Laborde that you will organize a youth meeting not later than in November so that they can approve you. This did not happen. The summer camp was not held because you were professionally engaged, but you could have delegated the job. I am sure that János would have been ready to take it over. Just to cancelling something without informing other people is not an example for cooperation. You criticized E-Mail reception, but you personally did not reply to E-Mails or it lasted a long time. I must reply to you open minded and honestly that the CC is not really satisfied with your job from Laborde until today.

JP: No other questions? Then we make a break now. Drinks are at your free disposal.

BREAK (End of sound track N° 1) 52:20-1

(Beginning of sound track N° 2)

Point 5. Activity report from the sport officer

RV: Due to this very good timing, and because I have the microphone now, I want to reply to George: It's a fact that I was invited to the last CC meeting. **The first reason** was that I had organized it myself, it was in Thionville (FR), but I was invited. I don't speak German too bad, French very good and my English is poor. This meeting was held in English language. So please understand that I had been invited to a meeting where it was impossible for me to understand anything. A nice invitation, thanks therefore. The second thing: When they were discussing about the Swiss federation, they asked me to leave the room. That's fact that had to be said, and I won't stop here.

The sport activities were well done, like every year. I wrote the reports immediately upon coming home, but you can't find them on the INF homepage and I tell you why:

It is because I am sending them in French language and they have to be translated in German and English. The CC asked me (EuNat) to pay for these translations. Due to a lack of your approval for that, I therefore refused. I could not do this without your approval and when asking for the costs, I got no answer. I will not present all the reports here, because they are too long. I can tell you that the four main activities, Alpe-Adriatic, family meeting in Germany, pétanque tournament and swimming gala were well done. Everybody was satisfied and the ambiance was very friendly. But we are encountering more and more problems and it will be up to you to take a decision, because this becomes more and more bothering. I want to talk first about « Piercings ». At the swimming gala, there were people walking around with Piercings. I could show you some pictures, if you want so. I don't know if many people approve these kinds of jewellery at doubtful places, but I would ask you to take a position about it. If we agree about this, please tell me and it will be like that. If not, these jewelleries must remain in the dressing room. I would like that you take a decision right here and that the presidents of the federations inform their sport officers that when somebody walks around with this kind of jewellery, I will throw him out. You must be willing to do that, it's not up to me. 06 :39-2

The second reason is that there is a temperature of 25° in the swimming hall and on the pretext of being in a top level condition to access the winner steps, there are many people wearing clothes. This is not only a T-Shirt they got as gift, but there are many of them wearing underwear or shorts. So there is a need for a decision too; nude contesters may participate, dressed should stay outside. (That's your decision).

For the budgetary forecasts, we should have candidates well in advance. You will see that when we are talking about this that there are many question marks for 2011 and some for 2010. I can not tell you how many subsidies are available for pétanque or for the swimming event without knowing that they will be done, there are no candidates. The same is valid for traveling expenses without knowing the exact location. To complete the budgets for 2010 and 2011, I need candidatures right now. We could foresee that federations wanting to organize an event should send a written request to the sport officer designating the exact location, thus allowing us to establish approximate a budget.

I asked the federations to establish a provisional budget for each event. This allows us to compare if the expenses are approximately in line with the accorded subsidies. On the other hand, I think that federations should not make profits during these activities at community costs. This could allow us to adjust the subsidies in case of higher costs.

E.g.: Certain federations do not pay for a swimming hall, while France, a certain time ago, paid 8000€ for renting a swimming hall. Something else I never understood: At these meetings, a certain number of different nationalities are present, but these events are never used for making publicity about naturism. In certain countries (I don't want to tell who), press reporters wanting to make a small report were refused without telling them why. This is lamentable and does not fulfill federation wishes.

So, let's use these events to do some publicity about naturism in the press, explaining to them how we live, where these people are coming from, this will increase the number of our members. 12 :00-2

(Roger want to continue with the provisional budgets, but is interrupted by the president reminding him that we have to close point N°5 first and that provisional budgets are mentioned under point 6 of the agenda.)

JP : Questions concerning point 5 ? 13 :00-2

PR: Concerning the activity report and in accordance to our motion, I would like to know how many people participated at these 4 meetings and who took advantage of the subsidies.

RV: This question is easy and difficult to answer at the same time. It is easy because I could give you numbers, of course real numbers. But this does not explain everything. Alpe-Adriatic meeting is held during summer holiday in certain countries only. These are Slovenia, Hungary, Croatia and the Bavarian county of Germany and Austria. Only these countries having school holidays can attend the meeting, that's why the participation is restricted. There are about 200 participants. (*..Question without microphone..*)

RV: 200 participants, not assisted by INF, there are only costs for buying trophies and travelling expenses for the organization. (for myself)

The family event handling is almost similar, but there are about 400 persons. This happens during summer holidays and there are people at the campsite that did not necessary come to this event, but may become members following our explanations.

For the petanque contest, we are limited. We can only have 64 separate games, because the event is done on one weekend. To do it with 500 separate games, we would need a whole week. It is similar for the swimming contest. It starts at 10 o'clock in the morning and ends at 18 o'clock with a break of half an hour at noon. The Cups are given to the winners during the gala-dinner, because the time in the swimming pool is limited to swimming contests one after the other. There are about 150 swimmers without any possibility of having more. It's always very friendly and we could do publicity for naturism, but unfortunately, we don't. 17 :25-2

KF: In his report, Roger wanted us to give him assistance. I think that the problem with Piercings is no more relevant at German Campsites. It is not like several years ago, where we discussed about it, statutes were modified to defend ourselves against that, but it is limited to the discussion with only some people. What does that mean: Intimate jewelry? (*Kurt submits his personal opinion, not relevant for this meeting*) (*He explains that working with press reporter is unpredictable. He suggests a release of the text, but this could result in a not published text. He mentioned a bad experience that he personally had with a Swiss press reporter.*) 21:48-2

Not so with our Spanish friends, who attacked me personally in the FOCUS and in their own publications that I personally consider to be the wrong way of behavior. Naturist friends should inform themselves before reacting. Respect regarding another federation should be sacred! 23:30-2

JP: Questions?

MA: I agree, I would support RV comments, they are indeed good, the sport events and particularly the sport events are an excellent source of Pr. Of course, they have to be controlled, you cannot just open the door to cameras, because that would have a bad effect on the number of swimmers. It would give us the wrong appearances. We are very dependant for Pr on host federations. They often know the journalists giving a good or bad story. But I think it should be a greater event, much more publicity used. But it must be controlled maybe by allowing the journalists entering the swimming pool only at certain times and to use this in a wider context for Pr not only nationally, but internationally too. 25:10-2

PR: Roger asked two questions concerning Piercings and clothed people in the swimming pool and he wants us to define our position for the next meeting. France is against Piercings and about clothed people in the swimming pool; I want a position from you so that Roger is will know what to do. 26 :16-2

Point 6. Budget 2009

JP: You will find a copy of the budget 2009 as well as the provisional budgets for 2010 and 2011 in your folder on the table.

RV: You got the documents, so no need to discuss each point. There is a first point that I want to explain. On the rear side of this document wit account N° 8000, where you see the amount of European contributions, 30% are corresponding to 50817.50€. The original amount allocated to EuNat was 49561.51€. So, there must be a mistake somewhere.

The second point concerns the account N° 9245. These are not sport expenses; it's the family meeting at Rosenfelder Strand. The line below does not show the family meetings, but the organization costs for Laborde, including a subsidy of 3000€ for the FFN. This has nothing to do with family meetings. Check my remarks. 28 :43-2

For the provisional budgets, you see many question marks, I am waiting for your proposals to complete and forward the provisional budgets for 2010 and 2011 to you. 29 :39-2

JP: *(Informs Roger that we have to approve and close the budget 2009, the provisional budgets being scheduled under point 7 of the agenda)*

JP: Any questions concerning the budget 2009?

CvH : Sorry for Roger, but it's not a mistake, but explains that in the income from European federations there is also the Russian federation that says they have (665 *hardly readable*) members, but did only pay for 100 and my idea is that I will never receive the difference. That's why I made a reservation on that. So, if the Russian federation pays, the amount will be brought to the sport events. So it's not a mistake, I did not receive the money. But I think I will newer receive it. (31:16-2)

The other points, the family meeting, we gave a subvention of 3000€ to the DFK and the travel expenses of Roger about 1200€, (JP: 1194.76€) so the figure is correct. That has

been controlled by the auditors, one of them is here and he can confirm that, of course, if necessary. (31:51-2)

SH: May be I missed something but 1200€ of travel expenses (... *unreadable*) how many people were going there.. (*again unreadable, too weak*) Who can answer that? The question is: There were 3000€ of subsidies for the meeting and beside that there were 1200€ for the family meeting, right? And how many people were going there? It's just a question of interest.... One person? And who was that? 33:17-2

RV: If you mean family meetings, these are the travel expenses for one person, but she stays there for a whole week. (*Roger explains the travel expenses with his camping-car and mentions that he is making publicity en route for the INF and their activities.*) 36 :13

SI: I think that in the expenses of 1200€ that Roger has for this meeting; the preparation time of the events must be taken into account. He never billed that to us. The use of his private car must be evaluated too. We should not discuss in detail if the expenses are justified or not. I think that this is right. 37:23-2

SH: I did not want to criticize anybody; I just wanted to know it because it is not clearly explained in the document. 38:05-2

GR: That's the question I asked before. When the 3 members of (*??? I suppose EuNatCom*) arrive here with the budget and the coordinator does not know the content and that Sebastian has to ask for it, that's not professional.38:38-2

KF: Gianfranco, remember the budget of Cor that we are supposed to get later on, there are many positions without details. If one of the amounts is not clearly defined, then you can ask for it. Then you will get an answer and that's it. If we mean that these items should be presented with more details, then we will do this. I have a question for the INF concerning the reports from Roger and the translations. Until 2008, there were no problems to translate these reports in the respective languages and to pay them from the INF budget. Now the argument is that we have a separate budget and should pay for the translations by means of the EuNat budget. May I remind the INF that we renounced in Brazil to the initially 40% to 30% up to 40% and that the INF should handle this in a more generous way? I would thank you for that. 41:36-2

JP: Could we now approve the budget for 2009 and provisionally approve the budgets for 2010 and 2011, all reservations made?

CvH: 2010 and 2011 is impossible for the moment because we have to take a decision first about the discount we are offering to the federations and 30% of 70% is less than 30% of 100%. If this proposal is accepted, the budget will not be about 50000€, but only averaging 30000€. So you can't spend 50000, if you don't have it. So we first have to talk about the discounts. 43:49

JP: Let's vote for the approval of the budget 2009.

Result: All agree, nobody against, no abstention.

The budget for 2009 is approved!

Break (End of sound track N° 2) 44:27-2

(Beginning of sound track N° 3)

JP: We were discussing provisional budgets. Cor does not agree with the amounts, but I would like you to take a look at the individual subsidies without regarding the INF provision in order to have an agreement for the events that could take place in 2010. One of the reasons is that federations wanting to organize an inter-federal meeting in the next years must be sure to get the proposed subsidies. 01:54-3

KF: Before we vote, we must discuss the provisional budget for 2010. There is one provision under N° 9251, the meeting of Arnaoutchot. That was not known until now. We can not decide about something and find out later on that there is not enough money to realize it. 02:26-3

JP: I think we should postpone the budgetary discussion and ask the INF for further details. 02:40

GV: In the last few months, I and the NFN had two meetings and we both came to the agreement that INF is stagnating and their need to be major changes. There is a need for reorganization of INF, so we can move forward. NFN and INF reached the agreement that INF should address the federations why they are losing members. That's number 1 priority. Another priority is to take INF membership card and make them more valuable for members of INF. That the result of that conversation we had, that was the number 1 issue. There is a need where members of INF or your federation, for when you show your card in any country or holiday center, they would give you a discount. We said them this will have to be done, we have to make some major changes how INF is structured and even if you look at the motion N° 1 from NFN, they propose that INF will have the freedom to plan this action and how it will be carried out. If this motion results in an amendment of INF Statutes, they should be amended accordingly. So let's address one point at a time: We know that federations are losing members. Can INF stop that? NO! But INF can help federations to determine to find out where problems are. In the 3 issues of Federation FOCUS we address federations how they should find out why they are losing members. I will not go to the details, but you are the only one who have access to your members and their addresses that we don't have and don't want to have. *(George explains how difficult it is to talk with campsite owners and managers especially when asking for discounts. The only thing INF can offer is publicity for owners and he says that making publicity on the website for owners who give discount could be attractive for them)* 10:45-3

We went to the Utrecht Naturist Fair (NL) in December and we were very successful when we were approaching people and we had about 80% success. To be able to do all this and not wasting money, we basically came to the conclusion that when this program will work, you must have people to do it and who are willing to do it. *(George is*

mentioning that they can help federations with this tasks in holding seminars with the federations approval) 12:00-3

But to be able to do this and all that staff and to keep INF professional, we would like to bring (*.. unreadable...*) for the next congress which INF committee would like to stay with 5 people because it's working and saves a lot of money. Voting structure would change and people will be elected to the CC not to any position, but people from committee would choose on the bases of experience and education for president, treasurer a.s.o.

Two people would be elected by the federations, 3 people will be appointed by the CC and this should come in effect in the year 2012. At the congress, there should be no elections this year. Of course, I would like it to have on a (*..unreadable..*) basis and if it doesn't work you could change everything back as it is today. Questions? 14:04-3

KF: Concerning this point, I expected to hear something about the financial assistance from INF for 2010, because we were talking about finances. What George told us now, belongs to the point 8 of the agenda, INF and the future of INF. I must say honestly: When I hear something like that, I must say that I am overstrained at this moment to discuss about that, because they should have advised us in advance. To present these structural modifications here is too much for the delegates. A thought, not to elect a president, but to reserve this to a group who decides, if one of these 5 is able to act as president, is... That's one possibility, but there are others remaining. One is to develop a reasonable profile so that the federations know what they must look for in their own federation or elsewhere. What are those requirements for a president or a vice-president? The job must be described, but it is not up to 5 people to decide that for 2 years or not. That would be very strange to me as federation. I don't know any valid profile that handles this way in electing their proper council; I only know elected councils, presidents and treasurers. I never heard that such a group designs their own treasurer. Sorry. They should have informed us in advance, like we did in presenting our motions in written form and submitting them. 17:05-3

JP: Questions ?

GR: A little question for George: These 5 positions, are they for the presidents of the federations, a possibility?

GV: I'm not asking anybody to vote on this today. You got 6 months to decide if you like that idea or not. These changes you will get in written form, your decision will be at the congress, not here. So you have more time to think about this and as far if you said: Every major corporation elect their presidents or chairman or whatever you call it. So it is not that in every corporation they elect their president. 18:40-3

Pr : The question of losing members is a real one. In France, we experienced that 3 years ago. Supposing that the members or affiliated French members did not know anything about the INF, we made a lot of actions that the federation should be recognized not only by the price of their licenses. So we made a lot of publicity actions for the naturism by means of the press, quarterly publications, a new guide and an invitation to visit fairs. We found out that the loss of licenses went back from 6% to 2%

and this year it is at 0%. But we must not cry Victory too early. (*He mentions some points he will present tomorrow in his motion*) 21 :11-3

PL: Maybe I was asleep during a certain time, but what is going on with the budget of 2010 and 2011. Where have they gone?

JP: We are still waiting for some explanations from the INF about the amounts we can use.

KF: I know the German legislation about clubs and I am doing this now for 40 years. But that an elected council of a members meeting that meets only every 2 years thinks that they can overcome the rules of the statutes, I never encountered that. Even if we would agree with that in our group, it would be a mistake. We have to comply with the statutes. If we want to change them, we should propose a motion within prescribed delays. You published yourself the delay for statute modifications that expires after the 8th of March.

(. Discussions in the audience..)

KF: No matter what, Siegelinde, I will finish my speech and you have to listen to it, sorry. So, statute modifications rely on delays and it is not acceptable to postpone votes. We have to talk about that, but that has nothing to do with recruitments of new members, that is a problem regarding our federations. You may assist us to think it over together and to develop strategies therefore. Has nothing to do with votes. It is a group of 30 federations, more or less. We are not a commercial society. I would like to elect a president and it would be nice to know what kind of duties he is willing to accept and which person it is. 24:28-3

SI: That's right, Kurt, but please remember that we are discussing the budget for 2010. So, these thoughts were for 2012.

(*Heckling from the audience: I'm hungry*) 25:00-3

KF: Sorry, but we are discussing the budget of 2010. We can not close it, because some key figures are missing. And now we hear, after a discussion of 15 minutes, that the ideas from INF are only foreseen for 2012! So we can easier discuss about that in Italy. No matter how, we want to close the budget for 2010, and this 2 years before 2012. 25:57-3

CvH: Ok, 2010 of course, we are looking at the budget 2010 of EuNatCom. In my own Budget, I explained that before, we have a reduction of 30% of the contributions. If that is accepted.. (*...discussion in the audience...*) 2010 of course, 30% for 2010. If you would look at your budget 2010, you could see it. The closing of the office in Antwerp did make a result of 60000€ on a yearly basis. That is why it is possible to reduce the contribution in 2010 of 30%, but the consequence of this will be that the budget of the European events will be reduced by 30% too. And the question is now: Do we want the reduction of contribution of 30% in 2010 or may be later, that's why I gave you a draft budget. 27:40-3

Of course, if you decide not to take the 30% reduction immediately, the budget of EuNat will be increased, but we have a problem in the future, may be, because the overseas federations would have problems with those payments. *(He explains that the problems come from the currency exchange rates)* The consequence could be that we could loose the overseas federations paying over 20000€. It's up to you to decide! 29:11-3

EE: I am asking if any federation asked for reduction.

HS: We are very much in favor of a reduction of the budget, we prepared nine motions to expand the work of the INF, but I realize that it is not possible to do that today, we have to discuss this later on the congress this year. So I think the simplest conclusion at this moment is not to reduce the budget for 2010 and to approve the budget from the European committee. 30:05-3

AC: As delegate of the FLN, I would like to add this: A budget must be voted during the interfederal and we must comply with what has been decided at the congress. I never saw that a budget was reduced during a current year. This is the first time it happens and I do not think that we can do that.

A 2nd position I would like to take: It is clear that everyone is satisfied to spend less money. But what does that mean for the INF, for EuNatCom and all the things we want to do? Is this reality? Paying less and getting more? That is a bill that will never be balanced. 31:18

KF: I like our discussions because they are helping all of us. I would like to remember that during decades we suffered due to the Swiss currency exchange rate in the European countries before we got the Euro€. And we went through this without complaining. And now, suddenly, the currency fluctuations should be the reason why the American federation has problems? I suppose something else and I want to have the real information from the EC. Within a current commercial year, you are not allowed to raise or to diminish the member contributions. That was decided in 2008 and can not be modified, we my do this for 2011 and 2012. 33:24-3

CvH: What we were talking about America that is clear, it's not only the problem of the currency, and they are loosing members. Two clubs, one of them Paradise Lakes with 3000 members left them, so they are in trouble and they let us know that they can't pay more than they did last year. I cannot acceptany payments, we have to throw them out and we will loose them. So you can't make a decision for a budget for this year. We saved 60000€ by closing the office in Antwerp and I our idea was to give that money back to the federations. But I hear that you don't want a discount, so I am happy with that. It's no problem to change it in a minute. (.. some unreadable discussions..) Listen we cannot decide anything here, you can only give your opinion and bring the budget on the congress. 36:10-3

GV: *(explains once again the budgetary situation of AANR and why they separated from paradise lakes)*

BREAK (End of sound track N° 3 36:10-3) Noon

(Beginning of sound track N° 4)

Continuing with budgetary discussions

JP: Roger, could you present the provisional budgets for 2010-2011?

RV: There are actual activities which I would like to propose again for 2011 due to a lack of other proposals. There is one proposal from FENHU for activities, but we have to check together if this is an activity similar to the family meeting in Germany or Croatia and if it is, we could exchange it with the one or the other and include it in the budget. J János has to make a proposal before discussing this. (Roger continues explaining the different position of the document and is interrupted by Sebastian) 03 :30-4

SGH: We decided last year that the youth will have an own budget and that she can decide about its distribution. This would mean that there will be only one “Youth” line in the budget and that the youth can decide itself about the distribution. I asked Roger to do so, but he replied that the INF would not agree. The account numbers 9270 and 9280 are corresponding to our requirements of 12600€ evaluating to 20% of an annual budget of 54000€. My expectations are that we should fix the youth event budget at 20% of the annual event budget with a minimum of 10000€ for existing events. This corresponds to the amount we always had already. 05:35-4

RV: 12000€, that was not a proposal of the past years, it was 3000€ for the summer camp and 6000€ for the springtime camp. 06 :19-4

SI: Roger, we never had a fixed amount for youth; we had a certain amount per person. We had a fixed amount per participating person and federation of 50€. And Cor will not raise this amount, because this could overcome our financial possibilities. Last year, no budget was defined, because we did not have rules for EuNat. 07:00-4

RV: Shall we approve each point individually or all together? 07 :30-4
(he starts explaining the items in the document 2010 together with travel expenses)

Swimming-gala 2010 in Zurich (Switzerland)

Pétanque tournament in Norwich

Family meeting (Rosenfelder Strand) 09 :18-4

That's for the main activities. There are activities that have been proposed and not included in the budget like the meeting of Arnaoutchot, to be organized by the FEN together with the FFN and the FPN. There is a problem in accordance with the rules: There must be at least 5 federations to get subsidies or we have to change the rules of the INF. It is too late for 2010, but you should present a written project for 2011 for approval at the next inter-federal meeting in 2011, within the budgetary limits. It's up to the delegates to make their choice. Furthermore, the foreseen date in 2010 is in conflict with the date of the pétanque tournament, another problem. In my opinion, you should carry it over to 2011. Are there other opinions? 12 :22-4

SI: One question, Roger: During the meeting at Rosenfelder Strand , were there also 5 federations in the first year? If we always carry it over and over, maybe they gather 5 federations and why should we cross it out for 2010? Just ask the FEN, the FFN and the FPN (*represented by Spain*) if they have the possibility to gather 5 federations. Why should we then cross it out? We should make more publicity and that would be a possibility. (Discussions without microphone) This could be a possibility to talk about it.
14:09-4

SH: I think our proposition is supported by FEN, FPN, FFN and FENAIT and there is no problem that another federation will participate to the 2010 meeting. So I want to know the German family meeting is a proposal of DFK and I want to know how many federations participated there in 2009. 15:10-4

KF: 7, as already said before. I have another question. Roger is mentioning in his report, that there could be another event like this in Hungary. In this case, we should think it over which one will be supported. I think that we should attempt some fairness between us and looking at the site map. Our family meeting in the Northern part is done as opposite event to the Alpe-Adratic meeting, because there are no school holidays during that time in our country. I could imagine Arnaoutchot as a South-West event.
17:05-4

SH: First of all, the Alpe-Adriatic is for sports only, German meeting is for family and I don't know why we could not have another family meeting organizing sport activities to increase the number of youth that come with their family members. So I don't think that we can't organize another meeting based on family, sports and youth. It means that South-European federations can supported (...???) First of all, we started with the support of 4 federations and I don't think it will be difficult to increase only one or two or three federations. So we want to organize this. I think without any problems for 7 federations at least, but if you want, we can vote if we do this supported by INF or not.
19:27-4

KF: (*He explains the connections of the events were the names are not so important and had to be chosen due to Arnaoutchot, but insists that all these events can on be arranged within the budgetary limitations*) 21:25-4

AC: I would like to come back to the words of Roger and what seems important to me: We should coordinate our agendas thus avoiding being competitors between us. My proposal: I see that initiative very positively, but it seems to be a little too late for that. I would carry it over to 2011, so that other events, where the dates are already fixed, can be organized conveniently. 22:10-4

SH: I totally agree with Armand. We're not competing. Whatever initiative of whatever federation is good, I think. So I propose the voting of this event to be supported by INF from 2010 or from 2011. It's O.K. In any case, we will accept the voting result. Even though it's not supported by INF, we'll organize this event this year, because we had

done this thing for three years consecutively, continuously, it was very successful. So we wanted to spread this for the more federations; we had a good time, Spanish and Portuguese federations. If you want join more federations, it will be welcome.

(Notice from JP: Special thanks to Suka for listening to the audio file I sent to her in order to reproduce her text correctly)

RV: I hope that you understood that I am not against this, we are here to discuss and I am presenting you all the features in my disposal. I am here to multiply activities and to participate as responsible. There are federations who engaged themselves for 2010 and who are waiting for a confirmation of their subsidies. The proposal came a little bit late and we did not know how many federations would participate and it would be reasonable to foresee this for 2011 so that I can choose between projects because my traveling time is limited. 26 :00-4

JP: Roger, we should see first what the budgetary limits are because we still don't know the exact amount for 2010. 26:13-4

SH: I think we can't decide anything because of to CC's proposal of 30% reduction for membership and I think the only thing to be decided is our thing, the proposal from FEN. So it's simple, just vote for 2010 or 2011, we will accept the vote. 27:30-4

PR: It is too early to talk of 2010 because we did not get this information, it was only a proposal for a motion for this year. We have already organized the 60th anniversary of the FFN and I don't think that the FFN could participate to the organizations of Arnaoutchot. You did not specify clearly that France was engaged in this proposal. I propose it for 2011 to adapt the Spanish meeting to a French meeting. This would be more reasonable.

JP: If I well understood, we cancel Arnaoutchot 2010 and carry it forward to 2011? 29 :06

RV: Suka has asked for a vote, shall we do it ? (no response)

KF: Now, all of a sudden, the concerned federations says that she would not participate to that meeting and then we should vote if it takes place at that location? This makes no sense. We can't discuss about 2011, because we have no budget for that year. So, for what shall we vote?

GR: I think that when we vote for the budget 2010 at the world congress, we can no more vote for the 30% reduction. We need a valuable proposal from the FFN for 2011. 32 :45-4

JP: We need a decision. We can not talk during one hour about an event that the FFN does not even want to organize. 33 :00-4

KF: Dear Gianfranco. The budget of the INF can not be reduced during this year, because it was decided so in Brazil. Cor said the same. We should remove Arnaoutchot from the budget of 2010 and talk about it in 2011, when we got nee amounts. 34:00-4

CvH: You say that we talked about this, but it's not yet clear that we do not give a reduction. I talked to several people and their opinion is that it should stay like it is in the year 2010. (*..comments from the audience...*) I have a question for Roger: I don't see any travel expenses for the interfederal meeting in this budget? What did you think? 34:40-4

RV : You are talking about costs concerning the interfederal ? I do not have yet the expenses of Ismael and Sebastian for insertion in the budget. There are 1000€ foreseen, but I still have no details. (*..comments from the audience...*) 37 :52-4

GR: Roger: 2009 coordinator 0€, Youth 0€, sports 305.65€, that's what figure there. For 2010 you can increase the amounts by 10%. You must tell use amounts for the provisional budget. 39 :14-4

RV: That's not so easy. (*Roger says that is it impossible to inert amounts for Sebastian and Ismael because he has none to compare with and also mentions communication problem with INF because he only got the real amounts only today.*) 41:04-4

KF: Roger, it's a matter of fact that these costs should be inserted, even if they are only estimated. They should be estimated comparing previous amounts. We are not talking about 1000€, but about 4000€, because Arnaoutchot has been withdrawn for this year. You should be able to arrange that. Next time, this has to be presented correctly. 42:30

GR: We can not say that the 3 members of EuNatCom that started in 2009 don't know what happened in 2009. I think that the 3 members had time enough to understand what's going on to form a European organization. I say this because we are here to decide if EuNatCom will continue or not. (*He says that the provisional amounts must be replaced wit real amounts at the en of the year and gives some examples*) 44 :34-4

JP: Continue, Roger, otherwise we will never end !

RV: There was a subsidy of 3000€ for the organization of the interfederal. But in Luxembourg, we realized that a simultaneous translation is much more expensive than the organization itself. We updated the amounts: 1000€ for the organization and 3000€ for the simultaneous translation. This is also valid for the interfederal 2011 in Sweden to cover the costs partially. This is subject to approval by the meeting. (*Roger gives some examples and want that the subsidies are in balance with the expenses.*) 46 :50-4
For the youth, we proposed 6000€ for the springtime camp and 3000€ for the summer camp. Sebastian would like to change that and he will explain it himself. 47 :27-4

SGH: Siegelinde is right to say that we never gave a fixed amount to an event, but we want a fixed amount for the youth where they can decide themselves. (*He explains his point of view that Roger ventilated the amounts separately, but that the total amount*

corresponds to the requirements of the youth. The totals results in a maximum budget of 12600€ for the youth, supposed that the total budget is 54000€. Otherwise, the youth budget has to be reduced accordingly). My proposal: To have single line in the budget for the youth of 20% of the total EuNat budget with a minimum of 10000€. The youth should decide how this budget is used. This circle approved this last year. If it is legal, Siegelinde, I don't know. (.. discussion without microphone..)

The motion of the DFK was accepted last year in this circle and I explicitly asked, it this was clear. It's written like that in the minutes. *(SH gives some explanations for the youth amounts, insist on his request and stands there as responsible for the youth.)* 00:55-4

CvH: For many years, we had youth meetings and we did pay a fixed amount of 50€ per person. So the subvention depends on how many people are present there. And that's the way I would like to go on, because why pay 6000€ when there are only 20 people. The other thing is the travel costs. They are always bases on the reality, which means, we have travel instructions and that is based on Km and other costs, but not a fixed budget. Of course, it's not my budget; it's the European's budget. 57:51-7

SGH: Many thanks Cor for repeating the same thing that I said. The will not be any fixed subvention for this or that, that's not planned. I only want a budget line for the youth where she could move along with the guidelines we had and applied. *(SGH mentions some examples for this)* The travel expenses rules are of course also valid for use and I promise you that we will stay far below it. 59:10-4

PR: *(Criticizes that the amounts of the subventions in the provisional budget equals the amount of the expected expenses in the column to the right balancing the budget in this way. He does the same for the practice of subventions where he proposes an annual calculation to check the balance of the expenses versus the subventions and asks for a balance after each event.)* He asks JP.

JP: (answers to him that he has to make a difference between a final annual budget and provisional budgets. Until now, there were only subventions at it is logical that these two columns are mainly similar and the result is the provisional positive balance of the budget for that year. This is current accounting practice. Starting comparing now the subventions wit the real expenses encountered, so a final balance, the result will only be visible when the commercial year ends.) 01 :02 :17-4

KF: Paul, I am wondering myself that you don't understand. A budget has two sides, as Jean said just before. You have the starting budget so that we know where the limits are. Then we have the expenses. Therefore, a guideline exists for travel expenses aso. What worked fine in the past should remain like it is. 01:03:38-4

MF: We agreed at the beginning of this meeting that we would not discuss any subject for longer than one hour. We have been discussing this subject now for 1 hour and 4 minutes. Could we now move on to some other topics?

JP: You're completely right! 01:05:00-4

BREAK. End of sound track N°4

Beginning of sound track N° 5

JP: It's up to Sebastian again to explain his position.

SGH: *(Sebastian wants to clarify that the allocated budget for the youth will be managed exactly in accordance with existing INF rules and will not be estranged for any purpose. There will be balances for each event, never done in the past before, and the payments will only be done after final balancing. The youth responsible will report annually to the meeting about the use of the amounts. He indicates that the youth will allocate the money to the individual events, no matter if there are now 2 or 10.)* 04:10-5

JP: if I understand you, all you want is to have the total amount at disposal for the youth written in on single line in the budget. We will ask the meeting for his opinion, when this point is closed. 04:30-5

PL: I find that the idea of Sebastian is not too bad but I think at this moment, it's not up to us to distribute money in this way. I would like to have well specified budget. That means we could foresee the 20%. But here, we are giving a free hand and that's not normal.

JP: It's not a free hand, because all payments are done after a check by Cor and the money remains in the treasury pf the INF. 05 :38-5

SGH: Paul, we decided last year in this circle that the youth could use her budget like they want. That's not a free hand! *(Sebastian repeats his preceding explanations, see above)* 06:15-5

PR: You are talking about a youth group. **Fist question:** How are you structured? **Second question:** During last year, what have you done exactly? Betulle was already organized. The summer camp has not been held. Information for the next meeting is still missing. I am worried for a fixed amount for a responsible who is not really confident. 07 :06-5

SHG: *(Sebastian explains why the summer camp could not take place and says that he assumes responsibility for that. The explanations about the youth structure would go too far here, but remarks that the youth will have a meeting in May where they will discuss this and design a youth delegate)* 09:16-5

JP: We should close these discussions. Eirik has to present a motion.

Point 7. Motion SNF N° 4

EE: It's a very short motion *(The motion is not reproduced because everybody has got it)*

JP: (some discussions with Kurt about this motion)

KF: *(Kurt remarks that there were never problems with traveling expenses. We should not limit ourselves here, because it could be that the upper threshold of 15% could be bypassed if an event is farther away, then we would have a problem again. We should stay with the actual rules.)* 13:03-5

RV : *(Roger explains that there are not only traveling expenses that are considered, but there are also transportation logistics, border crossings, buying trophies and many other things.)*

There is another line of the budget to be discussed: The traveling costs for the world congress 2010. *(He doesn't know if he will be invited and he proposes to discuss this point later on.)* 16:04-5

EE: *(Eirik remembers the machinations of 2002 where people wasted a lot of money with traveling expenses and justifies therefore his motion.)* 16 :50-5

PR: *(Paul thinks that Roger is still member of the CC and if anybody could prove something else, he only has to say it)*

JP: Paul, we are not discussing about that for the moment! 17 :52-5

GR: *(He comes back to the comparison of the subventions versus the effective costs and criticizes the absence of provisional budgets for the events.)* 20 :15-5

JP : Gianfranco, we are not discussing about that for the moment!

SI: We are not talking now about Roger's participation for the congress. That was last year and I am asking myself what a congress is good for, if we now set it all back to the beginning and restart it now. Last year they said: Roger was sport officer at the INF and I was youth officer there. We had to retire as INF delegates for youth and sports, and then a vote was done electing people into the EuNat. You wanted that we should retire, that was not our own idea. (.. unreadable..) Was have we done in Laborde? We discussed there during two day for noting at all. Today nobody remembers what he said last year. Think it over. 21:32-5

KF: The problem is again that me are mixing up different items. *(Kurt mentions again the suspicions of EE and says that these were extreme values for travelling expenses what never happened again. This can not happen anymore because of a continuous check of the expenses)*

If somebody has forgot the decisions of Laborde should in form himself, I have all documents here with me. 23:43-5

HS: We are discussing a very simple motion from the Swedish federation which is concerning administration and travelling. I think it's wise to limit these expenses for travelling and if we do it this way, it will be clear for next year. I agree with you that listening to these discussions will become more and more difficult because nobody seems to know what has been decided last year. 24:42-5

JP: Let's vote for the motion, please! 28:00-5

Result: The Motion is accepted with:

For: **107** against: **47** Abstentions: **9**

JP: Let's vote for the provisional budget for 2010.

The provisional budget for 2010 is accepted unanimously. 29:40-5

Point 9. Motion FEN N° 8

JP: Please remember to concentrate you on the major points of your motion, because it's content is known by everyone.

SH: *(She wants to know from the CC if EuNatCom is legal or not)* No answer.

JP: Is this part of your motion you want to present? 32:40-5

SH: I need this answer so that I can present our motion.

SI: I think we should first consider the motions from Jean Peters (FLN). If these rules are accepted, then we could treat all other motions. 33:45-5

JP: That is OK, if the assembly agrees to modify the agenda.

SI: This should have been done already this morning. (Small talk between SI and JP, not relevant) 34:09-5

Everybody has read this motion; it's very clear and corresponds to what we all want. If this motion is accepted, all other motions can be handled based on these rules. This makes sense and we would at least close one point. 34:45-5

JP: Who agrees to move to the point N°13, rules for EuNat? 36:25-5

Everyone agrees. Points 9 to 12 will be discussed later on.

Point 13 Motion N° 2 from FLN: Rules inter-federal meetings.

JP: *(mentions briefly how these rules were created. It would have been the job of the coordinator to do this. But as there were no proposals from him at the beginning of the inter-federal preparation works, I issued these guidelines as proposal. This is also valid for the FLN proposal under point N° 14)*

If somebody has some remarks or proposals concerning this document, please do it now.

KF: Small correction at page N° 1: There are still traveling expenses limitations of 10%. In accordance to the Swedish motion, this should be changed to 15%. 38:11-5

SH: *(Sebastian is mixing up motion N° 3 with motion N° 2, OK)* 39:20-5

KF: The motion is divided in A, B, C aso. Under Point 1, last line, there is +-3000€. He mentions that we have already voted for 3000€ for the budget 2010. The same is valid for a subvention of +-200€ for small federations with less than 400 members. (Everyone agrees to leave it like that, because **it might be granted.**) 41:14-5

JP: I think that these rules have proved their efficiency concerning the organization of the meeting in Luxembourg, translations included, document mailing aso.

PL: I hoe that all the expenses for organizing such an interfederal meeting must be paid by all of us and not by the organizing federation. When a simultaneous translation is necessary, it should be paid by all of us.

JP: That's right, but we have to check that year by year. Fore time being, the subvention allocated covers almost the expenses of the simultaneous translation. 43 :30-5

PR: The question about the simultaneous translation is important and I think that when we make it mandatory, the candidates for organizing it will be less in number.

JP: Paul, we all saw at Laborde that it won't work anymore without a simultaneous translation.

KF: We just voted for a subvention that says: For a simultaneous translation, 3000€. *(Kurt explains that this amount is at disposal and that this implies an intensive work with many telephone calls. People may ask Jean how it works.)* 45:12

JP: Lets vote, please.

The motion N° 2 of FLN is accepted unanimously. 46:05-5

BREAK.

End of sound track N° 5.

Beginning of sound track N° 6 (04:37-6)

Point 14 Motion N° 3 of FLN Proposal for EuNatCom internal rules.

JP: *(These rules, in accordance with the decisions of Laborde, should have been established by the coordinator together with the sport and youth officer. Because nothing happened, I proceeded as mentioned under point 13 with following exception. At the beginning, the project gave more freedom to EuNatCom. Because we are part of the INF and want to keep this, I submitted this project to the INF during the Utrecht fair with the request to examine it by the legal commission of the INF. Late on, an E- Mail came from GV (not with an INF header) who suggested in an attachment to put it all under the supervision of the PR-officer of the INF. What I did at that time!)*

I am now waiting for your opinion as well as proposals, critics and everything that belongs to such a project, supposing you studied it at home. 06:49-6

KF: *(Kurt explains again the decisions taken in Laborde and mentions that another person joined this group with clearly defined tasks, which modifies the responsibility of that group.)*

There is a secretary: For me, it's more a multi functional person who handles the 3 official languages for translations, prepares the account reports and something more. The question is now if we want to add another person to this group, that would be the PR- responsible for Europe. Every body had enough time to think it over and should be able to present his statement to that. 09:13-6

SI: May be a short explanation why we wanted to bring in the PR-person. He should not be an additional member of EuNatCom, but should act as a liaison officer between the INF and EuNatCom. The Pr-Man is a member of the CC and could bring in all information about EuNatCom directly to the INF. There are no additional costs for EuNat, because the PR is integrated in the INF. 10:59-6

IR: Last year in Laborde, I proposed Mick Ayers for coordinator of EuNatCom. This was my position and this is my position now. In other case, we have 2 organizations and something like a new federation. This is not good for us. That's why I propose like last year that the coordinator must be in the CC. The coordinator must be the Pr-Europe.

KF: Ismael used again something that disturbs me; he is talking about two organizations. That's wrong. The commission is a working group within the INF. 14:08-6

SH: *(She explains that she agreed with Kurt and want to ask him how do you explain that the 2 secretaries, (eh..) the secretariat of the INF refuses any translations for EuNatCom and other federations saying that they are not the secretaries of EuNatCom? How can you explain that? 15:15*

KF: Suka, now you have a problem. You should explain it to me, because I am not presenting the motion, I did not invent the word Pr- secretary that does not exist. *(He explains to Suka the functions of the secretary, what kind of work he has to do and that she should ask the FLN for further details.) 16:51-6*

IR: *(Mention again what SH said before and remarks that it was not the job of Jean Peters to translate the documents, because he was not elected in Laborde. Some federations asked for translation by the INF office and they got no answer. I think that there must not be 2 organizations, but EuNatCom must only be a Workgroup). 19:10-6*

GR : Sorry, we are losing time. When reading the motion, there is written: Article 10 of the INF statutes allows the INF to nominate a commission. The commission people are volunteers, the same applies to the secretary. He does what is described in the motion. The INF secretaries, that's something else, they are paid. *(He mentions that it would be better to setup a commission than to modify the statutes of the INF) 20 :51-6*

SI: We started last year in Laborde a kind of test year. This year, things occurred where we could say that we may modify them. We should not over inflate this commission, she should work effectively. And she will be able to do so with the proposal that Jean Peters

made with the PR-Europe. But the PR- Europe can not be coordinator of EuNatCom. If we call the secretary now coordinator is not important. These 3 persons should form the EuNatCom and consider the wishes of the European federations and push them through. If we have to change something must be considered next year. 22:42-6

JP: Answers Siegelinde in this way:

1. The person of the secretary and coordinator could be the same, thus avoiding over inflating the commission.
2. Mick Ayers can rely on the people working for the INF for EuNatCom, when he is responsible, because he belongs to the CC.
3. We did not yet ask Mick, if he is aware of the job he intends to take over and what tasks he will do. This should be discussed. 23:25-6

KF: We asked Mick Ayers one year ago, without any experience. There, his arguments were against the things we are discussing now. But this is legitimate after one year to change his mind. Beside that, I agree with Siegelinde and I have to say again to Ismael: In Laborde, Jean engaged himself verbally to make the translations for us because the treasurer already said at that time that translations have to be paid by means of the European budget. *(Kurt explains again to Ismael that this commission was created with the cooperation of the legal commission and was approved legally by René.)* 25:21-6

MA: Answers Jean that he is well aware of his duties coming up and that he has a lot of experience and attended every federal meeting, one excepted, since 1996 and that he has very good knowledge of what takes place here, or not as well. I would like to see some progress moving forward. This is an offer to me from the CC to create a proper horizon, a reasonable structure where we do have one body, where EuNatCom is a commission of the INF legally setup and structured and acceptable and recognizable in Europe as well, an another benefit. Last year, I was concerned, because I saw two people standing down to become new members of the new grouping. I think that was the wrong decision. After 12 months of experience, you tell me: Did it work? *(He explains that there are efforts to be done and that everyone has to do his job, otherwise it could not work, but I am happy to say, get on if you're willing to do so.)* If not I can go back to the CC and rest. 28:10-6

HS: I think that the FLN proposal is a very good proposal. It's a big step forward as well as for the EuNatCom and for the INF. I think it is important to have a kind of liaison officer between the EuNatCom and the INF. Let's talk about the future and not always about the past. It's a clear proposal, it's a transparent proposal, it has to be made effective and I think we should not discuss this any longer, but vote. 29:05-6

PL: I agree completely. We saw the proposal of the FLN and we approve this proposal as presented; it's the best way for the future. 29 :45-6

GR: The question I am asking is very difficult for me. I want to talk about Roger Viola, responsible of sports, nor more member of the CC. He worked very well during 2009, 2008 and 2007. We have to say something to Roger. *(He thanks Roger for the excellent job he did)* 31 :27-6

SI: I think that Roger will continue to work for sports (like during the past 10 years) and I don't know what Gianfranco means. We are happy that Roger continues. 32:41-6

GR: That's why I asked the question. Roger did not earn much, but he must continue to do his job. 33 :15-6

JP: Yes Gianfranco, that's what he is doing and he is doing it very well. It has to be mentioned here once!

PL: I was not there last year and I did not understand completely what happened in Laborde, but if I well understood, Roger retired from the CC. That's regrettable and I don't know how we could change that fro the next congress. 33 :54-6

PR : (Remarks that Roger should not have been ousted from the CC, because he had been officially elected during the congress and that only the congress could have dismissed him from his functions and that there is no need to asks a lawyer, because it's written like that in the statutes) 34 :50-6

JP: Roger has not been dismissed, you have to distinguish, he retired by himself, that's the difference. 34-57-6

KF: Paul, that's the problem, you should know the association laws. Everybody may retire at any moment from his task, regardless of the matters. You were present in Laborde, where GV clearly explained this point, where both CC members had to retire first before being elected for EuNatCom. That has been forgotten. And they retired, under your leadership. I don't understand what that means by now, the repeated praising; Roger ell knows how I appreciate his work and this must not be repeated eternally. 36:45-6

PR: (Replies to Kurt that when now the organizing federation is responsible for all that happens, nobody will organize it in the future. He says that he doubts about the legality of this retirement, that could not be legal and that there is no need to ask a lawyer.) 37:43-6

GR: We have to find a solution. My question: Does Roger want to continue with the sports? Yes or No? 38 :44-6

JP: I have a question for INF: When does INF intent to recognize this commission in accordance with article 10 of our statutes? 39 :00-6
(*Dialog without microphone, I think it's Siegeline*)
You say 3 weeks) Promised? 15 days? 39 :40-6

KF: Dear Paul, what I mean is: You presided the meeting yourself and you knew what we were talking about.(*He explains that the tasks for the commission had been discussed with René and that he approved it and we, as layman, should not think about that concerning legality*)

JP: Proposal. Let's terminate these discussions and let's vote. 40:57-6
This document is only a proposal. Modifications may be done by the commission and could be approved by the federations by electronic mail.

HS: Just a simple question: are there 4 pages?

JP: Yes, 4 pages. The last one, the voting list, is for information only. So we will vote for the first 4 pages of the document.42:37-6

SGH: The point A (Youth) should be clearer: that not all people under 27 are represented, but only in case the federation has a youth group, they must be a member of the youth group to be represented. That's only formally detail for the INF. *(He complains that a clear definition for the youth is missing in the document- Discussion between JP and SGH- SGH agrees that the commission will define the youth more precisely what has to be taken into account during the vote. Some points of the youth should be adapted to the decisions of Laborde. Jean remarks to Sebastian that he had this document already since January like all others did.)* 47:30-6

This should then be presented to the federations by electronic mail for approval.

GR: We are talking about a motion and we must say Yes or No to that motion, because we are talking about a commission and not about statutes. When something must be changed, the commission should do that and propose it to the federations. Everybody knows the rules for the youth and it is not necessary to modify them. 49 :10-6

PR: A remark concerning point B of the youth: It says that the budget is allocated by the PR-responsible.

JP: That's an error in the French text, it should be EuNatCom. It will be corrected.50:12

MF: I propose that we now make a vote on motion N° 3 of the FLN

JP: Let' vote for applying the motion and defining the details later. (voting...)
The motion N° 3 from the FLN is accepted unanimously. 57 :57-6

PL: I think that everybody agrees with me that I can congratulate the FLN for the work they did here.

JP: End of the first day of EuNat.

End of sound track N° 6

2nd day 06.03.2010

Beginning of sound track N° 7

JP: Good morning to all of you!

First of all, I would like to recall the motions we put back yesterday; the first one was

Point 9, motion N° 8 from the FEN

I am asking the FEN if they want to present their motion or withdraw it.

SH: The FEN retires the motion because we are happy with the results of the motion.

JP: Point 11: Gianfranco, would you like to present the motion N° 5 from FENAIT or withdraw it.

GR : I want to withdraw it. (N° 5)

JP: Question for the DFK: There are motions under **point 10**, motion N° 9.4 and under **point 12**, motion N° 9.3. Would you like to present these motions or withdraw them?

KF: The DFK withdraws both motions, but invites the delegates to read the withdrawn motions as well as the withdrawn answers at home, because they are important for our cooperation. 02:29-7

JP: We are coming now to **Point N° 17**, tasks of the coordinator. Because he has been replaced by a secretary, we should think about his tasks, because I can not imagine that somebody will accept this job without knowing exactly what's coming up. Questions?

KF: I think that the tasks written in *italics* for the secretary are already very informative what a secretary or coordinator should be able to do. The ideal would be a person that speaks 3 languages to insure the contact between all of us. (...do we all have the same text we are talking about...) You find it where mandates have to be occupied under Point 4, secretary. There, I added coordinator. For the different language communications, we should find a person who collects the balances and forwards them to the INF treasurer for payment. It is also important that he maintains the contact between these 3 persons and that he informs the RP-responsible, if necessary. A coordinator, as the word says it. 05:42-7

PR: That's the purpose of the French motion, point 2 and when we are talking about EuNatCom, I think it would be good to add a supplementary person for the points mentioned before like legislation about nudity in different countries and the protection of the earth as asked before by SNF. (*Paul says that this point could be discussed during the world congress, but we have to leave a door open for this point.*) 07 :15-7

KF: The tasks of EuNatCom are clearly defined, that's the monitoring of the events. What Paul proposes now would be an extension. (*This belongs to the tasks of the INF, if another commission should be created but does not belong for the moment to the tasks of EuNatCom at their beginning.*) 08:35-7

MA: There is clearly a need of secretary for services and the probability of speaking the 3 languages is an essential feature. *(He answers to Paul that there is actually no legislation in any country specifically against naturism, but countries are using other legislations against naturism and certain have laws dating from the 20th or early 30th. And that translating these laws would require special skill because laws are written in a very special language. This belongs to the CC. He says that it is possible in accordance with the new treaty of Lisbon to introduce a request presented by a lawyer, but therefore you need 1 Million signatures from at least 5 European countries. But this is not a task of EuNatCom.)*

He proposes to add to the text that difficulties should be reported to Pr-Europe, which would be very efficient. We should look to find somebody like that. 13:29-7

PL: There is something I don't understand for the moment. I agree completely that a secretary is needed, but if the PR-responsible now says that all the work is for the secretary.....

JP: I think you did not understand Mick; he was looking in the future with tasks that could be done by the secretary. For time being, the secretary does only the tasks described in the document. For translating legal texts, you need specialists. 15 :10-7

PL: I would like to have a clearly defined situation for today and for next year.

KF: In order to continue, I propose Jean Peters for this job. He proved here that he manages well the 3 languages and will do the same for EuNatCom.
I am proposing Jean Peters and I want to vote. 16:12-7

Jean gives the presidency of the meeting to Armand (FLN)

AC: I would like to ask Jean first if he accepts that mandate.

JP: I will accept that mandate, if I am elected. 16:50-7

EE: We are always talking about HE, and not SHE. I propose Michèle for this job.

AC: Michèle, (FLN) would you accept it, if elected?

MC: (Michèle FLN) I'm sorry, but I have a full time job and I don't have the time to do it.

AC: So let's vote for Jean, if you agree. Voting.....

Result: All votes are for him, no negative votes, no abstentions.

Jean Peters is elected unanimous as secretary (coordinator) of the EuNatCom commission.

(**AC** gives the presidency of the meeting back to JP.)

JP: I thank you in advance for your confidence in me and I will not disappoint you, that's promised. 18:40-7

Let's now continue with our agenda points.

Presentation of the motions for the world congress 2010. 19:00-7

I suppose that the texts are well known by everyone and that there is no need to read them entirely. Only the main points should be presented to get an opinion about it. No polling will be done.

Point 19 Motion N° 7 from NFN

HS: First of all, I have to say that in last autumn we had a meeting with the 6 large European federations to see how we could go on in the future. The purpose of this meeting was to establish what we could do together. As a result of this meeting, we transposed it in the 9 motions we are presenting today. Today, we have 67000 members. 15000 of them are club members, decreasing, and 52000 of them are individual members. And this number is increasing.

(He gives some details about their way to improve the quality of the membership, dealing with commercial centers, publicity for naturism like a fair in Utrecht, taking care of the interests of members, improving the services and quality.) 23:34

HS is explaining now the essential points for 1 to 9

At point 4, he is interrupted by **KF**.

KF: Question concerning point 4: *(He explains that the INF is discussing for 20 years about a modifications of the membership cards and that the DFK issued a new membership card that they want to keep.* 26:40-7

HS: (continues with point 5 to 9 of the motion) 28:45-7

CvH: Comment about point N°3 (About the certification) I am glad that NFN has a motion about this item. This idea is not new. In 2005, I started the discussion. We had several meeting with the NFN and DFK. *(He explains that the certification procedures, started after the WC 2006 became more and more difficult and resulted in a document of 5 pages and the travel expenses went up to about 15000€. Later, there were signals from NFN and DFK that they were no longer interested. So we put the whole thing in the ice box. We started it again end of 2009 sending a label to the clubs and affiliated centres all over the world asking for a discount for INF cards. There were 2 results, as you can see on the web page. Of course, we need the help from all federations...)* 31:25-7

PL: The committee of the FBN has well studied all these motions and we principally agree with them, just one question for motion N° 4: To whom should we address our comments, to the NFN or to the INF? 33 :00-7

GR: I think that one single card is very important for the image of the European and worldwide naturism. I know that this will be difficult to realize it, but I think that with a little effort this can be done. 33 :53-7

PR: We think the same thing and the proposal from the NFN that the INF should propose a specimen of a card where every federation could add their specifications arranges us very well. We agree with that proposal. 34 :16-7

MA: *(He says that many federations have their own cards with different layouts and he wants the delegates to give him a copy of their layout today so that INF can get a general idea about it. There are cards that are printed annually and cards where the stamp is added annually. He invokes again the certification problems that CvH mentioned earlier)* 36:37

KF: *(Kurt says that Mick is wrong when he says that the federations were invited (since Brazil) to send a copy of their membership cards to the INF. That was earlier, after that we had an INF membership card from Wolfgang.*

Another question for Jean: Wouldn't it be better to treat **point 31**, motion N°10 from the **SNF** now, because we are discussing that item now?

JP: Jean is asking Eirik, if he wants to present his motion now?

Point 31 is executed now.

EE: Eirik explains his motion or information about certification. 39:30-7

AC: I want to say something about the common membership cards. We are discussion now for 30 years. In Luxembourg, membership cards are not issued by the federation, but by the affiliated clubs. To store personal data on a computer, we had to ask for an authorization at the government administration of data protection. May be this only exists in Luxembourg, but you should inform yourselves in you own countries about it. 41:26-7

GR: *(Says that he attempted this when he was a member of the CC at that it did not succeed due to many different cards and layout systems and he would respect the person that will do this.)* 42 :49-7

JP: There is an endless discussion about cards but what is really needed is a valid proposal, sending it to the federations to get their opinion.

KF: *(Discussion is always helpful, but there are so many different opinions within the federations that can not be concerted on single card due to data protection laws aso.*
The essential thing is the stamp with the changing color and date, that is the same for each card. 44:49-7

SI: There will be much work for you to do, if we want to realize that. But to say in advance that it won't work is not acceptable. We have plenty of time to think it over up to the next congress. We must not decide immediately at the congress, that we should

make a new card, but we could collect ideas and continue. May be we get a decision in two years that it is possible and we could find something, that is easier to realize and that helps moving forward with publicity. 45:52

BREAK. End of sound track N° 7

Beginning of sound track N° 8

SH: The FEN still want to organize Arnaoutchot meeting supported by INF but I try to say that for example in 2008 in Costa Natura, there were 150 people participating from 5 federations. FEN, FPN, FFN, FENAIT and one federation from South-America.

I propose that for 2010 changing the date from 25 to the 31st of August for the Arnaoutchot family meeting organized by FEN. 02:23-8

RV: We withdraw Arnaoutchot. Concerning the dates, it would match. When the delegates agree to grant a subvention, I am not against it. In the amounts, we could then put the traveling costs and the subvention. (1000+2000€)

GR: I did not understand the date for Arnaoutchot. The 31st of August. But the congress is on the 8th of September 2010. (*GR is afraid that there are too many consecutive events*)

KF: (*Kurt indicates that the budget for 2010 is closed and that we have to wait with this authorization, if the traveling costs are allowing this within the budgetary limits. He doubts about it. Also the multiplication of the events in this short gap if time just before the world congress*) 06:32-8

SH: (She means that they will organize it even if it is not supported by INF, because it gives good opportunities for family holidays with good discounts. (...some parts are unreadable...)) 08:10

GR: One question for the president of the meeting: Yesterday, we decided in the budgetary discussion that Arnaoutchot would take place in 2011. Is that true or false?

JP: Yes it's true, Spain had accepted it. But now, they changed their opinion.

GR: That's impossible, we can not decide twice or three times about the same item !

JP: I think it's up to EuNatCom to decide this within the budgetary limits and the FEN will be informed within the next 8 days.

(*Ismael agrees with the proposal from JP*)

GR: I do not understand the position of the FEN. We took decisions and we have to respect them. 09 :42

AC: I would like to react to the intervention of GR. They changed the dates conflicting with the pétanque tournament so I think we could discuss again about it. 10 :12-8

RV: *(Roger thinks that it is EuNat who has to take the decisions for accepting events and approve them during the meeting in springtime. He says that it's not up to him to assume any responsibility when this is not clearly defined.)* 11 :52

KF: We are talking again for a very long time about a point that does not figure in the agenda. Roger, you have your budget and you have to decide within the limits of that budget. And we decided that this point 2010 is approved will no more be discussed. We can not constantly move dates around. We have to respect our own decisions and you are obliged to apply them. For this event, you have no authorization from this board.

IR: Kurt, you are right, this point is in the schedule, but is point N° 29

JP: Ok, Ismael, but during the budgetary discussion, this point N° 29 was already discussed. Do you want to present it again? 14:18-8

GR: I am asking the FEN and the FFN if the FEN will organize an event in a French territory. We decided to do that in 2011 and it's over now. I'm asking the French federation if she agrees. 15 :19-8

PR : Yesterday, I did not agree for the organization by the FFN, but this morning, FEN told me that she would entirely assume the responsibility of organization it, so my restriction of yesterday are no more actual. 15 :50-8

KF: I'm asking myself why Jean is proposing us an agenda when we are now at point 19 and want to jump over to point 29, that's not OK, we should respect our rules.

JP: This point is closed now!

Point 20. Motion N° 12 FLN. INF statute modifications.

AC: Presents the motion N°12 from the FLN

SI: We have no problems with that, but it will only be effective after the congress 2010. In that case, the motions should be presented in 3 languages. In summertime, we could otherwise have problems with translations. 20:34-8

PL: If there is a problem with languages, could we do the same procedure than you did in Luxembourg? 21 :40

JP: Once again: If federations have problems with translations, they can send them to me. But please, not 20 pages!!!!

Something else I would like to precise here: The INF (GV) informed me that the federations must address their motions personally to the INF office, because INF can not consider motions sent by me to INF for information. 22 :34-8

Point 21. Motion N° 13 FLN Modification of the internal rules of INF

AC: Presents the Motion N° 13 der FLN vor. 23:10-8

SI: The same is valid as for the preceding motion.

CvH: There may also be errors with E-Mails. When you send a motion to the INF office, please ask for a receipt confirmation.

JP: Concerning E-Mails: Federations who have activated an automatic receipt of E-Mail should disable that!

SI: I just wanted to say the same thing. Ask for a receipt confirmation with some personal words. 25:10-8

Point 22. Motion N° 14 BN. Modification of the internal rules of INF

MF: Presents the Motion N° 14 from **BN.** 27:47-8

KF: That's a mistake that is done in many committees. You are herewith preventing discussions on items, even if this lasts sometimes a little bit longer. I think that the exchange of opinion is important. There must be discussions. When we prohibit this, it will be a boring meeting. 29:56-8

AC: This is the first time that we have the technical possibilities of a simultaneous translation. (*JP: don't forget Fleevo Natuur NFN in 2008*) Last time, this did not happen and it was disastrous. We should use these techniques and not cut our possibilities of expression. That would be boring. 31:00-8

JP: Yes, there we would miss something!

BREAK. End of sound track N° 8.

Beginning of sound track N° 9

Point 23. Motion N° 11.1 from FFN

(Paul lists the changes within FFN and other points not related to his motion and therefore not copied in this text) 04 :00-9

He presents point N°1 of motion FFN 06 :40-8

He presents point N°2 of motion FFN 10 :53-8

He presents point N°3 of motion FFN 11 :50-8

PL: I am glad with the proposal N° 3, it's up to the INF or to us to find a solution for the so-called naturist beaches. I am speaking about the Héliomarin centre at Cap d'Agde integrated again in the FFN. It is up to us to react and may be a little bit more. There must be an end making love at some distance from the bar. We should react, not only the FFN. 13 :46-9

SI: Concerning the paper guide you all know that since 2010 no INF guide exists anymore that's managed by the INF. I think that the federations have their own guide. We would suggest you to transmit the necessary information to us and put a picture on our website to sell them. Concerning the point of legal texts, we just need information from you. We received 4 law texts from federations by now, that's not enough to do anything. 15:06-9

KF: Concerning the guides, Siegelinde is right. To go back to a common guide is not realistic and we should use what's offered to us. People looking around in Utrecht saw that many other guides exist. That's on thing.

Proposal N° 2: The nude behavior must be recognized by the European countries. How far can these wishes be reality? *(Kurt mentions that common rules for Europe is a wishful thinking and is satisfied that naturism is described in the German constitution in a way that satisfies them. We will never get more details included in a law, because we are a minority and not of common interest.)* 19:17-9

AC: Concerning the naturist guide: For small federations (Luxembourg included) it is impossible to have an own guide. As a small federation, we need an international guide. A question to Paul (PR): Montalivet and Oltra are back in the FFN after a statute modification. Could you tell me later which modifications had been done? Have they become socially acceptable or is it only for the 17000 licenses they promised. 20:39-9

EE: I agree that is good to have a paper guide... (Partially too weak) The database has to be developed... (again too weak..) 21:45

PR: *(Paul explains the convention between those centers and the FFN and other points not concerning the answer to Armand and not written down here)*

The owners are still the same and it was not sufficient to create new statutes to make it work well. Armand, could you repeat the last question? *(Discussions between Armand and Paul)* At the Cap d'Agde, there are 3 sectors: Two public sectors, the beach and the streets an a private sector: The Oltra Center. *(Intervention from FFN with the mayor without any results, some other explanations)* 27 :45-9

KF: Point 3 of your motion: *(reads the point 3)* I would like to know the content of such a law. That does not exist in Germany. Does it exist in Europe? I would think it over very well before acting against the authors of a magazine. The given possibilities are very limited. What is the right to publish an article against it good for, when this will be reproduced very legally in a small corner of the magazine? Why do we want that the INF should do that? That's not realistic and not effective.

(Kurt mentions the database of the DFK in the INF and says that it can not be used in this presentation and that it is inaccurate. The INF had contacted personally all the clubs

from the DFK, but not all of them replied and therefore the data are inaccurate. A linking to the database of the DFK would be more appropriate.) 31:55-9

GV: I hear so many questions about database. Database on INF website has approximately 860 clubs or holiday centers listed. All information in the database is updated on a daily basis or as much information we get. All federations here have access to the database or to the data of your clubs or holiday centers with the password for that club. I don't know how many German clubs are in the database, but all clubs listed in the guide 2009 are in the database. If this guide 2009 was not up to date, we can't do anything. Every club in the whole world got 2 E-mails to update their data. Some of them did, some did not. About 60% did not. If we have no information from you or your club, we can not update it! 34:35-9

KF: George, that's exactly the problem. You don't want to understand. We know our members better than you do and we know that they are lazy and slow. Therefore, we have our own database. We offered you to pick up data from there; you wanted to have every club and gave a password to everyone. You have no system in it. It's all mixed up. Just go to the page and you will see it. 35:47-9

PL: I think that Kurt is right when he is against the opinion of the INF. I see in Belgium, we had two sites and 2 clubs less and we certainly forgot to inform INF about it. We already have enough work to do with our website, magazines and folders. I hear you talking about 2009, but since 2009 many things have changed. It would be better to have a link to our website. I agree with the DFK. 37 :24

SI: We did not just take over the data from 2009, but looked for modifications at your websites and integrated them. 37:53-9

GV: That's right, we used your websites. But if you have new information, you can let us know and we change it for you or you can do it yourself, that's up to you. But if we don't have that information, we can not update.38:38-9

CvH: Just an example. We gave the Italian federation all their passwords, if they updated or nor, that's their problem.

AC: I would like to say clearly to Kurt and to all other federations that the INF website has a link to all federations' websites. Additionally, there are holiday centers and clubs. These links always existed. 40:06-9

KF: The problem is the communication. When the EC says that all German clubs figure there; I presented a folder to you where you can see that almost 50% are missing. Secondly, I must say that there is no visible structure. Just look at the site. It would be better to read the addresses on the federation website, because we are managing these ourselves. 41:52-9

PL: It's good to have links, but we had the same problem existing on websites, two different information for the same club or centre. People do no more know what's right

or wrong. We need just need one information. No matter what system is applied, but we need just one system, not two of them. 43 :15-8

PR: Even if there are only 4 federations who gave their legal texts, it would be interesting to know who they are. It would be good so that other federations could take advantage of the experience of others to get ideas missing in our own federation.44:00

MA: The message is the same, whether we talk about database or legal text or magazines, the first and most important step which has to be overcome, you must send them to us. It is not physically possible for 5 members of the INF to monitor all press, TV all over the world on a daily basis but you can do that in your own federation. Please keep us updated, if there are differences between the national databases and the INF database.

(Giving more details about communications, but does not answer to the question)

JP: The question was: Who are the 4 federations?

MA: George will give you the answer after lunch. There were federations choosing the easy option of saying: We don't have any legislation about nudism.

Noon

End of sound track N° 9.

Beginning of sound track N° 10

(Something missing at the beginning)

MA:*(he informs us that yesterday a budget was voted and that Arnaoutchot was removed and the budget was agreed. But if Arnaoutchot is wanted again, that's a decision of EuNatCom, within the budgetary limits. The budget can be amended, and if not, it's up to EuNatCom to decide.)* It would be better to put budgets at the end of the meeting to include all proposed events during the meeting and approve it then. 01:50

GV: (He informs that the 4 countries that sent legal texts to INF were Germany, Spain, Canada and Sweden, another replied: We will reply soon. 02:30-10

JP: (Informs GV that FLN sent the legal text in French and German to INF; the English text not being translated due to translation difficulties with the correct juristic text. I will transmit the texts again, may be there were lost en route! 03:30-10

PR: (says that he sent the texts one year ago to the INF. 04 :03-10

KF: Now that we know that 6 federations complied with the request, I have a question for Paul (PR): You were always talking about "WE", as if this is handled by the FFN. That's the job of the INF. Is there a group who handles this? How should this continue?

SI: There were only instructions at the congress for collecting the documents. We gave them at the disposal of Paul(PR), maybe he has an idea. We will put this point on the agenda of the congress. If this is done there, we don't know. 07:20-10

This morning, we approved the internal rules for EuNat, elected a person, Jean Peters as coordinator and now it's up to the INF to approve this. As said this morning, there are no problems at all with these internal rules and we have no problems with 2 persons, Jean Peters and Roger Viola. The only thing that the CC does not agree with, is Sebastian Heuft, we have to talk about this. 08:27-10

SGH: *(Says that he is ready to give all information and that his goal is to execute the tasks he has foreseen.)* 09:06-10

MA: *(says that some youth members reported to him that they could not establish contact with SH. People who had that experience could tell that to you personally, because it would be more open and honest for the situation. Within the rules, they respect the INF statutes. If there are people who are prevented participating to your activities due to that, it would be a serious problem.)* 10:15-10

(.....Some inaudible discussions....for 1 minute)

MA: ... All I can ask Sebastian is the insurance that nobody would be excluded unnecessarily from the youth activities. No answer, I take this as affirmation. 11:59-10

KF: As far as I understood, some persons, but not here, complained that they could not contact Sebastian, no matter how. And that therefore certain youth groups could have been excluded from youth activities. These persons should say that right here, but nobody did. That's how I understood Mick. 13:14-10

I have a question for INF how he thinks that we could move forward because you just said, if I understand this, that you have doubts, a veto. How should we proceed?

SI: I have a proposal, but that's not my decision, it's yours, but János declared that he is willing to take over this position. Now it's up to you to question János and to decide if you accept this. I can not comment this; I can only forward this proposal. 15:05-10

JS: I have to start my second (...) but it's a big responsibility and a very big job and I know very well that it is hard involve youth into naturism. I know that because I have a campsite and 4 daughters. So I have my personal opinion and we discussed in Hungary, so if you charge me, I will take the job. 16:30-10

SGH: I find this very thrilling that you propose yourself via somebody else and I think that is not intended by you. *(It's good that there are more candidates here, not mentioning that there are no elections but I am not willing to retire...)*

I only have to favor to ask you that you should think about the network for the European youth we set up during the past 10 years, what the needs are and what you know about. You are cordially invited to come to Hamburg in order to get contacts and to present yourself. It was the intention that the people, who are working with the

youth groups, should take responsibility and maintain the contact with the INF, now EuNat. 18:31-10

KF: *(Points out that it was decided that the existing youth could elect their own delegate and present him here and only in case they would not have a candidate, a person from this circle should take it over.)* To withdraw a mandate for a person elected for 3 years is not very fair, a little broad-mindedness would be appropriate. 19:44-10

GR: Sebastian, you must answer to one question: During the past year, did you work or were you absent? If you did things, it's good. If you were absent, it's not so good, but you have made a statement. We should be realistic. 20 :46-10

PR: Answer to Kurt: Sebastian was not elected by the youth, but was elected by our group one year ago. It's up to our group to decide about he future of the youth responsible. 21 :15-10

SGH: *(He explains what has been done for the youth, rules for the youth with an enormous outlay for voting and regrets that the youth regulations were not considered by Jean is his motion. He was not able to handle a major event, the coordination with other federations for the summer camp and had to cancel this with János.)*

Everybody can reach me almost 24 hours a day, if not by E-Mail, then by phone. Siegelinde shakes his head, apparently she does not agree with me but I think that I am always replying when asked. To answer your question: There could have been more, but I am working on it. But I think I fulfilled my job with satisfaction. 24:20-10

JP: Sebastian, what I don't understand now, you presented your report yesterday. The questions should have been asked immediately after your report and not the day after. Ok, Sebastian, you missed something, we all know that, but your report has been accepted and therefore I will close these discussions right now. That's my personal opinion.24:53-10

SI: That's the reason why I always wanted to take the rules first. The reports were before the rules. We did not yet accept the rules. First accepting the rules, then the reports. I will come back briefly to the answers of Sebastian. We sent you some E-Mail and you certainly did not reply for half a year. Don't say no. There are no answers, neither to me nor to Roger and I addressed myself to Kurt and you didn't even reply to him. You cannot say now that it did work, you didn't reply to George, sorry, but that's not the way I can accept cooperation. 26:23-10

HS: I'm not often astonished or surprised, but at this moment I am. There is no point on the agenda speaking about an election. The report that Sebastian gave use yesterday was accepted, so, that's finished and over. I think it's very strange that this situation develops now at this moment. If there should be an election, we should have known it beforehand, so that we could at least get an advice about what our youth department is thinking about it. We should stop this at once! 27:20-10

GR: I heard now that Sebastian wants to continue. He is young, he has possibilities to react and it's very difficult for me at this moment to say no to somebody who wants to continue. But if you continue, I hope that the president must not say again what she said. 28 :36-10

KF: Siegelinde, it is possible that you have to pay attention to apply the rules in the CC or EC. I realize that: You got the agenda, you read the points and you were present last year, you know the rules and you know the wish of Sebastian that the youth wants to represent herself in this circle. And you know that she will have a meeting soon. There is a logical sequence in the agenda resulting from agenda point. This is well known by all of us and we should respect it. We should discuss next near if we are satisfied with the labour of the youth responsible. 30:37-10

PR: I hear Kurt talking about youth and Sebastian about youth rules. About which youth are you talking and what's their nationality you are talking about. 31 :08-10

SGH: *(Explains that the internal rules for the international European youth will be approved only in Hamburg and that the definition will be written down in the EuNat rules, that all countries are concerned where youth groups exist or where correspondents are present. He presents the youth groups with their names.*
Invitations will be sent to the federations. 35:00-10

PR: *(says that there has not been any youth meeting at this time. There were some contacts, but no works together to define the collaboration.)*
That's not a question, it's a conclusion. 35 :35-10

SGH: *(says that the duties of the youth groups are coordinated and that there is an active cooperation within the youth and that he guarantees to give proper management framework to the youth during the summer camp. aso.)*
The youth exists and should now be constituted. 37:04-10

SI: Finally, I say that we from the CC did not request you to vote for another person, we only said that we don't agree with that. 37:28-10

Point 26.

Motion N° 1 from SFN Membership in an association.

EE: *(I hope this will be a simple one cause I think we deal with adults)*
Eirik presents the motion from SFN. 39:38-10

KF: *(checked the internet about these associations and finds out, that the DFK is not interested in such a collaboration, because the interest are quite opposite and this should be valid for the SNF too.)* 42:36-10

EE: First: There are two different motions: One was about membership in INF. The second one is about engagement in nature. 43:27-10

JP: There was a problem with the motion N° 1 from SNF. In fact, there were two separate motions included in one single document. 43:40-10

EE: (he explains again the purpose of his two motions)

I want to get your opinion if you agree on this motion. If not, we will not send it to the INF for the congress. 46:55-10

KF: Eirik, if you had written it in this way, I would have understood it. The item concerning a direct membership to the INF has been decided so in Brazil.

The DFK has a neutral political position and takes care within his possibilities for nature preservation, but wants no direct connections to nature preserving associations. 49:39

HS: I wanted to say that we fully agree with the German point of view on both points.

JP: Comment: That was short, my respects! 50:07-10

BREAK. End of sound track N° 10

Beginning of sound track N° 11

JP: The CC just informed me that there is a problem with the recognition of the actual commission. Mick Ayers, for time being the only legal member of EuNatCom, wants to present his position to you: 00:47-11

MA: A problem has arisen. I have been informed after the discussions today that the CC of the INF would refuse to accept the nomination of Sebastian as Youth Officer. They decided that he has not carried out his duties in the last year as required and expected and, therefore, as the only "legal" person in this commission at this moment, because the commission has not yet been approved, I am the only person who can take any decisions. I am anxious to take a decision that is transparent and seen to be agreed by all and therefore I wish to investigate if any complaint is justified before I take any action that is final or may be regretted. My proposition therefore can only be one way, that I would take action in suspending Sebastian from his duties at present. This is a temporary action that reflects in no way on him or his abilities because I wish to ensure that a proper investigation has taken place. In the meantime, due to the fact that the summer activities are to take place at Janos' camp, I will ask him to take over temporarily these duties to ensure that it goes ahead. I will, as soon as possible, report back to you on how the situation is. The CC informed me that if we do not take some action on this basis the commission will not be approved. This means that none of the activities can continue. It is not a situation suitable or helpful to the commission or indeed to the INF and therefore I am suggesting to you these actions as the best way to carry out a transparent action for all concerned.

Is that clear? 03:21-11

(JP- Special thanks to Mick for listening to the hardly readable sound file and for writing down this text in order to reproduce it correctly!)

SGH: I don't want to make presumptions. Now, during springtime, the critical point for the youth has come, the preparations for the youth rules are almost finished, the invitations will be sent in two week, there are many interference to this process expected, when I interrupt this now. It's legal, when this circle says that I did not do my job conveniently. But I can not accept that the CC/EC from INF decides who will do the job, in accordance to INF guidelines. I will not accept this, because the future of the youth depends on this. The decision concerning a person should be decided by this circle, but not from the INF. 06:16-11

KF: I am shocked about the decision of the CC/EC. As the author of the motion presented in Brazil and approved in Laborde, where the CC/EC was present, I feel ..???... If this is now boycotted in this way, that's not the way it works, you can't act like that versus us. There is an alternate possibility. We could say that we don't agree with your opinion, and then you will refuse the budget. Just stand for this at the congress! The same circle will be sitting in front of you. We are the majority. Let us do our job first. I can not understand why you are doing this after one year. There were enough flops with sport officers also. That's not your job at all, let us decide. It was never said that you must have the total control about our cooperation. There is a second possible way. We could pay less and withdraw this completely from the INF. Do you want this? Then you must say I and we will do it! If this works fine, I doubt about it, but it looks like that. 09:00-11

PL: I'm asking myself, why I came here. We voted this without any problems what you proposed as system. One day after, you are coming up with a problem I don't understand. There is nothing concrete against this person. If there was something, it should have been said yesterday. If we have such an interfederal meeting each year, I'm asking myself if I should come the next time.

GR: PIANO, PIANO (pianissimo) We are all naturist friends, otherwise we could quit everything. After one year, we can't say if somebody did everything well or not. Sebastian is young and willing to continue. When we may say against this group of 3 is that nobody said exactly what they have done. Only the sport worked well with Roger Viola. Pay attention at the congress: There were already presidents and treasurers that had to go very quickly. We got to find more respectable solutions. 12 :26-11

AC: To be clear: I find it outrageous that an EC overcomes the legality of EuNatCom, because he wants to separate himself from a person. And this person is Sebastian, we all know this. Such a thing has never happened before and it should not happen. 13:25-11

KF: I would like to add a supplement: Only the EC has set this claim here. A demand that was never asked before or after Brazil and I can lively imagine now how our cooperation will be done in the future, when something, a person or a discourse does not please the EC. Are we the revocable, without mandate, without legitimacy? That's not the way it goes. 14:35-11

SH: The federations don't know what we voted or we have to cancel everything we voted? I think I don't understand why we voted First: Page 4 (*she means 1st page*) of the FLN motion (N° 3) they say: Art 10 of the INF statutes allows the CC to create commissions. (*She reads the header of FLN motion N° 3*). *She further reads the article below: "A mandate is valid for 3 years. Re-election is possible. aso."*
Maybe we don't understand what this means? 16:40-11

JP: That's clear to understand, we have rules now, but we have no legal commission! The only legal person in this commission is Mick for the moment; they have not yet agreed our commission! (...Discussions...) I say again: We have rules, but no legal commission. It's funny, but it is like that! (...more discussions...)

IR: We agree that the Pr-officer, Mick Ayers, in accordance with EuNatCom officers, can withdraw a mandate of a member of the EuNatCom. ... (*..He repeats himself..*) 18:08-11

JP: Sorry Ismael, you are mentioning EuNatCom officers that do not legally exist! (... discussions...) 18:30-11

MA: Listen. As has been said you put me in a position yesterday to be the only "legal" person within the commission. That was your decision and you carried it forward. At the same time you proposed the rules for the EN Comm. As János said, at this moment it has not had the approval of the CC so it has no legal status as a commission. My attempt and, lets be honest I took this on only yesterday so no reasonable person can expect me within one day to know everything that is going on in the commission and what has be done for the past year, who performed and who did not perform. This is not a reasonable demand and I am sure you would not really want to make it. I am proposing a solution which would perhaps take a mid course through, in that whilst I satisfy myself that there is a need to remove a mandate or not, I am not taking any decision that it is proved or not proved. I would expect to put that together hopefully within the next two weeks preferably before the CC meets. Never the less we will have the possibility of the CC approving a status for the commission whether or not a member is suspended or if that member is re-instated. It is a way of moving forward and not preventing the whole aspect stopping today. If we wait until Congress then you have a year of lost work and that could do great damage. I am trying to be as open and transparent as I can with you but I am afraid we are struggling already to get a solution but as the only person with any legal status that is a proposition I am suggesting to you: You would help the commission move forward, it would be possible to make it legal, and I am not pre-judging anyone until I have a proper case and a proper evidence of what has been done. It is not going to be a kangaroo court; we do not operate that way. So, there is a solution for you if you want to take it forward. I am not asking you to vote for new members. I am not asking you to confirm the dismissal of anybody. At this stage I am just asking you to let me know the solution, to recognize it and accept that we, or at least the CC, may be in a position to approve in two weeks time. 21:29-11

(JP- Special thanks to Mick for listening to the hardly readable sound file and for writing down this text in order to reproduce it correctly!)

IR: I only want to clarify that this is the most important motion from the FLN that we approved this morning and for me the European Naturist commission is only a commission. It is not an organization. It is a commission under the control of the CC of the INF. The principal point that we approved this morning 22:40-11

GV: I just got a very short statement. As a member of CC, I am shocked that some of you expect that we will rubberstamp everything what you will ask us to do. In that case, you don't need any CC, you need nothing. I mean, we don't have to exist, right? You asked use to vote, we will vote. But you cannot expect that we will rubberstamp everything what you will ask for. Thank you! 23:22-10

MF: I would not presume to question what Mick has said, but he has the power to do what he has announced. It would help this meeting to understand better why he has come to this decision if he gives a little bit more information about what he said. He said that Sebastian had not done carried out his duties. Now if in fact he could explain how Sebastian had not carried out his duties, we could then better understand why Mick came to this decision. 24:10-11

HS: I'm very sorry, I understand that we have to go a little bit piano, but I think the situation that is presented here is unacceptable. I think nobody here in this room is sharing your opinion. And I think it's a lack of respect, I'm sorry to say that hard. If you take that in mind, then accept the situation as it is. Furthermore, I agree fully with the German federation. 24:45-11

KF: May I draw the attention to you that the presented and accepted document a repartition of the tasks for persons we elected in EuNatCom was listed. The Pr-responsible: He must be a member of the CC of the INF, supervise the sport and youth activities and establish the annual report for EuNatCom, assisted by the secretary, if necessary. He defines the budget with all the EuNatCom members. That's his duty. Everything else you are invoking here is only interpretation. I beg the EC to let us work legally this year with this circle, installed only today and has not yet worked for one year. Also you made only your decision today. It can be controlled easier than a CC or EC having a congress only every 2 years. It meets annually. 26:30-11

JP: Thank you, Kurt. I made the same proposal just before to the CC just before to wait until the springtime camp has passed. This was categorically refused. Under these conditions I can't do my job as secretary. 26:46-11

KF: I request to interrupt of this meeting.

JP: That's agreed. 27:00-11

***Communication from GvH: We must terminate before 7 o'clock,
the room is needed by other people at 8 o'clock.***

(No recording from 27:00 until 33:55-11

End of the sound track N° 11

Beginning of sound track N° 12

(Continuing with Motion SNF N°1, that was interrupted)

EE: I asked 3 questions that I would like to have answered by each of the different federations:

The first one: Which of you are already in an organization in your country for conservation of nature and environment?

The second one: Which of you are really interested in this issue?

The third one: Do you believe it will make any difference if we put a motion for the world congress at all? 02:01-12

JS: The question is not that we get in touch with such organizations, but if they want to be engaged with us? It happened to us twice that they didn't want to accept us! 02:45.

PR: I think that it is very important for a naturist federation to be associated with federations defending the planet. *(He explains some examples for cooperation)* For France, the answer is YES. There must be arrangements on an international basis that may help naturist federations being understood by those associations. 04 :35-12

JS: *(he explains to Paul what he said before.)* I believe that nobody is against your motion, but I think that these corporations, if they are possible, you must comply with the rules of your country and it is difficult to standardize this. But otherwise, FENHU agrees completely with your motion. 06:00-12

JP: Shall we continue with the agenda or shall we asks the INF if they have something valid to propose?

I'm asking the INF now: Do you have a proposal for us, Mick Ayers? 06:06-12

MA: I don't have a proposal or a statement from the CC for you. The CC has less confidence in Sebastian, telephone calls missing and the total loss of the summer event. We do not accept Sebastian as a member of the commission. We will (...? propose?..) a new member for the commission in the CC meeting in 14 days. Our position has not changed. 07:28-12

KF: The DFK wants to vote if we still consider Sebastian as voted bay us or not. The CC has to take in account this vote und let us know her conclusions about that. KFK wants a vote now: Who agrees that the vote for Sebastian remains like it is now?

JP: I agree with that request.

Let's vote now if we still have confidence in Sebastian or not. 08:31-12

GR: That's not the way it goes, that's not good. It is not possible to come to a conclusion if we are voting Yes to Sebastian. That's why, M. president, you asked me before if I would like to withdraw my motion and now I am saying NO. I want to put my motion again in the agenda. We are about to create a commission that has no value. The CC will manage the commissions. It's the CC who will manage the commissions. We want to have a valuable, independent European commission. Not independent, but recognized, The European organization must be included in the statutes. 10 :10-12

JP: Gianfranco, if I well understood, you have withdrawn your motion a certain time ago and now you would like to put it back to the agenda?

GR: We validated the motion N° 3 from the FLN and I understood that everything was well. In the commission, we have a budget of 54000€, but at this time, if the CC says that they don't agree with Sebastian and the DFK and the NFN will propose a vote where we will be against the CC, then everything is over. We are about to discuss for nothing. If the commission depends on the CC, then the CC will decide what we will do in this commission. If we withdraw Arnaoutchot from the budget, we have to remove 4000€. Then CvH will decide about the amount, it's CvH who will give money to the commission. I don't understand where I am. 12 :05-12

JP: Nor do I !

IR: According to our decision this morning, we can not vote against Sebastian, because we have approved this morning that the Pr- officer, in accordance with the EuNatCom officers, only they can withdraw any of them, not we. 13:00-12
(...discussions....)

JP: Kurt, the problem is hat there is no legal commission fort he moment. That was a proposal, if everything would have gone the good way. 13:55-12

PR: When the congress in Brazil decided to grant a specific budget for Europe, he recognized by that way the legality of this group. Yes, I know, it's not true what I am saying, I am ratiocinating, but we have a budget and we need people to manage it. That's the way it goes. The remaining question is that during the congress, we have to establish rules that should have been done during the time after Laborde until now. This has not been done. We have to work to put things forward. Otherwise, we will stop it. 15 :35-12

GR: Sorry, but I was present at 15 interfederal meetings. The congress in Brazil gave a budget and I am asking the president of the FFN: How many signatures did you make to make to get the money in 2009? None at all!. The money always was handled by CvH. It's CvH who managed the budget of EuNat. That's the first point. Second point: During the interfederal, there were always the federations that came together to accept proposals and to define motions for the world congress. The interfederal newer had power to decide. 17 :00-12

KF: Gianfranco, what you say is right, but that's the past. Since the congress 2008 and the motion from DFK, there is a new situation. At the interfederal, how we still name it, is the meeting of European federations. It's like that since 2008 when we had out motion. Why do we want more than just discussing? Because we want to defend our interests, also in a financial way and that's why it is called event budget with several positions. It differs in this way from before and the setting up by the CC has not been published before, it has been presented to us only here. OK, we notice this and voted for it. Now to point 2 about Ismael: When you make a citation, please do it in a correct way. There is written in Part 2 of the internal rules: *A mandate is valid for 3 years, re-election is possible* and then: *A mandate can be withdrawn by the PR- responsible*; and now the essential thing: *With the agreement of the EuNatCom members*. And we are the EuNatCom. These rules have just been voted, it did not exist before. The past year, if you wish so, has been a test year. It showed that there are some deficiencies concerning youth. We acknowledge that the communications could be improved. But the CC can not prescribe us what to do. Therefore my motion and we can vote about anything we want. Do we still agree with the decisions of Laborde, where we elected youth and sport officers? If we agree with that or not. Then the Pr- responsible has to defend our point of view. 20:19-12

IR: I want to clarify that EuNatCom is not this meeting. It is EuNat or interfederal. EuNatCom are the 4 persons. 21:23-12

JP: We make now a break for 5 minutes, because two press reporters just arrived.

End of sound track N° 12.

Beginning of sound track N° 13 (01:03)

JP: Let's continue with our meeting, we have time restrictions!

KF: Because the EuNatCom has not yet been endorsed by the INF and because they told us that this could happen in 3 weeks, the DFK asks for a vote to confirm to the present members of the CC/EC if we still stand to our decisions of this weekend concerning our organization, the Eunat and the EuNatCom, with votes and all their consequences aso. Therefore I would like to have a vote to see if we stand for it. If we do, I want that the INF says how she imagines future procedures. 01:50-13

JP: Thank you, Kurt, we will vote.

We will now vote. Who agrees with what Kurt said now?

CvH: interrupts JP: I have to say that if you vote for Sebastian, it is a vote against me and I quit INF. 02:35-13

GR: I think my position is very hard (*difficult*) I say it's impossible to vote for something at this time, because we should not oppose the one to the others. If we vote Yes for Sebastian, the consequences by the CC will be very hard to deal with. I think it will be

better to make a motion for the world congress to include it in the INF statutes, not a European commission, but a European organization. A commission is only a commission and in article 10, there is nothing but a commission to treat a problem. But the European organization, it's not a problem, it's a new organization in which I believe and I agree with a new European organization. I invite my friend Kurt and my friends from Netherlands not to arrive to a very hard position at this moment. 05 :17-12

PL: I don't understand, we are gambling with persons here and I never saw that before in a federation. 05 :48-13

KF: I notice that this is the 3rd request from me to get a vote that ended in discussions. One last time to Gianfranco and Paul (PL). In the 1st point of the agenda of yesterday I mentioned 2 points that we voted: 1. The allowed time to discuss. 2. The presence of the INF, CC and EC members. The sense of this meeting is that Europe can discuss his problems here in this circle so that this must no more be discussed during the world congress, where they don't belong. That's the deeper sense of it and we are about to discuss it again. My request for voting should help to define how far everyone present here, not participating to discussions, stands for it or changed his opinion. And exactly what you said, Gianfranco, that will be the result of this. There will be a motion for the congress, but until then, half a year will pass by and that's why I want to know from the CC, how they will decide. 07:42-13

SGH: Just a tip: If the federations mean that they are not well represented by me for the youth duties, then I will, to avoid more problems (*that's not my feeling, but I feel myself simply not urged enough by the INF*) retire. I asked what else I could do. The only answer was: Retire! I am only 30 years old, but I demonstrated that I can support a lot. 08:30-13

MF: I would like to propose that we do not take a vote that Kurt asked for. Simple as that: We do not take that vote! 09:01-13

JP: We will never resolve that problem I think! Let's continue like it was before and we will take a final decision at the world congress. This means: Mick is responsible, I am suspending my job as secretary and the other will do their job until the next congress. We won't have a result: INF is against, we are for it! That's my proposal. 09:34-13
I'm waiting for the INF answer, I'm expecting it! 10:45-13

KF: I already said it: If the INF wants, and that's what she told us several times during this weekend, that EuNatCom will be recognized only during their next meeting. Until then, everything remains just like it was before. In that case, Pr- Europe is not the householder here, but we are. We decide about what we are talking and what we want. And now the 4th trial to know, if we still stand to our decisions, let's vote and then we will see what's going on. The CC/EC must tell us how it will continue until autumn in Italy, where we will introduce a Motion. 00:12-13

JP: I understand Sebastian very good. He just wants to know if we still support him and I propose to vote only for information. Let's vote. 12:45-13
(*..Discussions in the audience..*)

JP: I don't accept blackmail! (*talking to Gianfranco*)

We will vote now, you will get the results later on. It's only for information.

(*..Discussions in the audience..*)

JP: We vote now, if we are still confident in Sebastian or not. 14:00-13

(*Gianfranco protests and quits the room*)

KF: Meanwhile for the 5th time! It must be possible to know by a vote from this group if we still stand to our own decisions. I don't understand why somebody wants to quit the room.

(Voting..... 15:10-13)

(The CC of the INF leaves the room, no precise timing possible)

Michèle announces the results of polling:

For **99**

Against **0**

Abstentions **45**

(*Italy with 10 votes no more present*)

KF: This vote shows that we still agree with the items we decided. Thus resulting: It would have been interesting to hear from Siegelinde, (*the 3 have gone*) that for time being there is no EuNatCom, because not endorsed by the CC. Therefore, the sport officer of the INF is again in his position. Because INF refused acceptance, preceding things are valid again. Therefore, Roger is again member of the CC and must be invited there to be present during the meeting. It is supposed that Siegelinde will take over again the youth. The consequences of a vote must be thought over before and therefore there will now be a motion for the congress to get an independent EuNatCom anchored in the statutes and therefore independent of a veto from the CC or EC. 19:00-13

JP: Does somebody here have another solution? 19:15-13

Point 28. Motion N° 6 der FENHU. (PPS Vortrag)

FENHU presents their Motion by means of an overhead projector and comments it. 39:35-13 (*Applause for János from the audience*)

KF: I understood this point like this: A motion for the inter-federal meeting for Siegelinde, you mean for the congress. (*Kurt discusses without microphone*) and says to János: A motion for the congress must be short, understandable and must have a motion text and an argumentation what is missing here. For me it is a very explicit and positive publicity for doing camping during the holidays. 41:27-13

SGH: János had thoughts how the INF could approach the youth. I only can plead for that. The idea is positive, but remains a strategy of adults for the youth and that's not what they want. We want to assist youth to help themselves where they can realize

their own ideas. For the summer camp, we finished yesterday an agreement that we theoretically could sign off today. If this is legal is undecided. So there is no obstacle for distributing the invitations for the summer camp now. 43:29-13

JS: I agree that the motion for the congress should be short. But the program for a new convention may need some more time. We must convince the young because they are sometimes lazy and we had such. Professional organization is very important. We have to leave them free and we have to give them interesting programs. 44:50-13

JP: We are running out of time. There are two more motions, the meeting in Arnaoutchot Ismael, I don't know what we are doing with it?
Now you must deal with the sport officer, not with me. EuNatCom does not exist, so the previous system is still valid. Ask Roger! Ask the INF, why!

SH: We will vote if Arnaoutchot is supported by INF: 46:20-13

JP: OK, let's vote!

(..Discussions in the room...)

JP: She wants that we vote for Arnaoutchot for 2010!

RV: in the actual situation, you may always vote. We came back to the situation where Cor is managing the budget and I came back to the preceding situation where: Without the agreement of CvH, I can't do anything. He authorizes the expenses and handles them.

PR: I would like to add that when the expenses do not exceed what has been granted by the congress, (30%) I can not see why they should refuse a decision of the congress. It will be difficult for the treasurer to justify refusing an expense granted by the congress.
49 :05-13

SH: Do you mean that INF will not pay for the translation of this interfederal and the German family meeting. I think they will pay. 50:44-13

(...Discussions in the room...)

Do you think that FEN has fewer rights than other federations?

Voting....

Michèle: Voting result:

There are **75** votes in favor, nobody against and **62** abstentions! 54:39-13

RV: I just want to say that in the actual situation (*the old one*) we are governed by elected people. Of course, FEN has the same right than other federations. You may exchange them at the next congress, if they don't satisfy you. But until the next congress, we have to work with them. I will ask for an authorization to organize it. I can do nothing else. 56 :27-13

IR: To clarify the situation: In the last congress in Brazil approved that this meeting can decide on the budget. Therefore, this vote is totally legal.

JP: Ismael, do you insist on presenting your “day without swimsuit”
(**Point 30:** Motion N° 16) ?

IR: No. 58:25-13

SH: We promote the Spanish naturism, which is the 3rd Sunday of July to inform people to go to the beach is a good thing. (*She explains some further Spanish details*)

JP: Eirik, you proposed something for certification, but I think this is only for information to federations. So I think that we can close this meeting.
The next meeting will take place in Sweden; exact detail will be forwarded to you by E-Mail.

I declare the “games” closed. 1:01.10
(..discussions..)

PL: I didn’t want to leave this room not forgetting Jean and his crew. I think the interfederal gave not the result you expected. That’s not your fault at all, please applause him for the duty he has done with his 600 E-Mails, with all he had to support by all of us and the INF. On behalf of FBN, I give you a little present for all you have done! (*Clapping*)

End of the last sound track N° 13

END of the interfederal meeting 2010 in the Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg

Last, but not least, I would like to thank the INF for putting at our disposal the technical translation equipment and a special « THANK YOU » to Gert van HERP for mastering the recording of the sound files with such a professionalism.

Jean PETERS, president of FLN

Appendix 1, see next page

Appendix 1



Meeting agenda for EuNat 2010 1st day



Friday, 5th of March 2010 (morning)

Pt	Motion list N°	09 :30	Opening of EuNat meeting by the president of FLN and welcome greeting by the INF.
A			Check of presence list, eventual mandates, voting quotas for federations, voting mode according to Art 6.7 INF? , approval.
B			Nomination of 2 scrutinizers by the assembly to assist the president for voting procedures. (no delegates)
C			Presentation of meeting agenda. Modifications possible when assembly agrees.
1	9.1	09 :50	DFK Motion: limitation of speaking time for delegates and INF representatives.
2		10 :00	Report from the EuNatCom coordinator, M. Ismael RODRIGO
3	9.2		DFK Motion: Answer to the coordinator.
			Comments, discussions et approval.
4		10 :15	Report of the Youth responsible, M. S.G. HEUFT
			Comments, discussions et approval.
		10 :30	Break for translators
5		10 :45	Report from the Sports responsible, M. Roger VIOLA
			Comments, discussions et approval.
6			Presentation of the budget 2009, discussions and approval. Presentation of the provisional budgets for 2010 et 2011
7	4		SNF Motion: Upper limit of travel expenses.
			Budgetary discussions and approval of the budgets 2010-2011
		11 :30	Break for translators
8		11 :45	Presentation of future projects of the INF and idea exchanging with the CC of INF (Could be continued in the afternoon if necessary)
		12 :30	Lunch



Meeting agenda for EuNat 2010, 1st day



Friday, 5th of March 2010 (afternoon)

Pt	Motion list N°		Continued
9	8	14 :30	FEN Motion: Comprises points 1, 2 and 3
10	9.4		DFK Motion : Answer to this motion
			Comments, discussions, and vote for each point.
11	5	15 :00	Motion FENAIT : Decisions taken in Laborde
12	9.3		DFK Motion : Answer to this motion
		15 :30	<i>Break for translators</i>
13	2	15 :45	FLN Motion: Proposals of directives for future EuNat meetings.
			Comments, discussions, questions, application, vote?
14	3	16 :15	FLN Motion : Proposal of internal rules for EuNatCom
15	9.5		DFK Motion: Remarks concerning this motion.
		16 :30	<i>Break for translators</i>
16		16 :45	Continued: Proposal: Developed by EuNatCom and approval by federations by E-Mail? Accepted by the INF at the world congress or by the CC? Included in the statutes or accepted as commission under article 10 of statutes?
		17 :30	<i>Break for translators</i>
17		17 :45	Idea exchange about the tasks of the coordinator
18		18 :15	Election (or re-election) of the coordinator
		18 :30	End of the 1st day.
	Info	20 :00	<i>Dinner</i>



www.inf-fnl.org

Meeting agenda for EuNat 2010, 2nd day



Saturday, 6th of March 2010 (morning)

Pt	Motion list N°		Presentation of the motions for the world congress 2010 of the INF
19	7	09 :30	NFN Motion: Presentation of motions N° 1 to N° 9
			Remarks concerning this motion, each point separately
		10 :30	Break for translators
20	12	10 :45	FLN Motion: Proposal for modifications of the INF statutes
			Remarks concerning this motion.
21	13	11 :00	FLN Motion: Modification of INF internal rules.
			(only if N° 12 is positively advised)
22	14	11 :15	BN Motion: Modification of INF internal rules.
			Remarks concerning this motion.
		11 :30	Break for translators
23	11.1	11 :45	FFN Motion: Proposal N° 1
			Remarks concerning this motion.
24	11.2		FFN Motion: Proposal N° 2
			Remarks concerning this motion.
25	11.3		FFN Motion: Proposal N° 3
			Remarks concerning this motion.
Other Motions			
26	1	12 :15	SNF Motion: Membership refused in another organization.
27			SNF Motion: Engagement for nature.
			Remarks concerning these motions. (in one document)
		12 :30	Lunch

Saturday, 6th of March 2010 (afternoon)

Pt	N°		Other Motions (cont.)
28	6	14 :30	FENHU Motion : Proposal and motion concerning Youth
			Remarks concerning this motion.
29	15	15:00	FEN Motion: Arnaoutchot meeting (Received 23.02.2010)
30	16	15:15	FEN Motion: Day without bathing suit (late: 25.02.2010)
31	10	15 :15	SNF Proposal: Certification of naturist sites
		15:30	Break for translators
		15 :45	SNF Proposal: Certification of naturist sites
32		16:00	(all items that were reported or had not been discussed before due to a lack of time)
		16 :45	Break for translators
33		17 :00	Press conference
34		17 :30	Final words and appreciation of results. Necessary tasks remaining?
35		18 :00	End of EuNat meeting 2010.

Appendix 2



FEDERATION LUXEMBOURGEOISE DE NATURISME

association sans but lucratif
Affiliée à la Fédération Naturiste Internationale INF-FNI

Boîte Postale 1236 - L-1012 Luxembourg
Internet: <http://homepage.internet.lu/felunat>
E-mail: info.felunat@gmail.com

Compte Chèque Postal Luxembourg (Bic: CCPLLULL) IBAN LU51 1111 0814 4360 0000

List of EuNat motions

25.02.2010

N°	Feder.	Date	Item
1	SNF	12.12.2009	Membership refused
2	FLN	12.01.2010	Proposed rules for EuNat meetings (draft)
3	FLN	12.01.2010	Proposed rules for EuNatCom
4	SNF	25.01.2010	EuNatCom travel expenses limitation (15%)
5	FENAIT	26.01.2010	Different legal considerations
6	FENHU	02.02.2010	Proposal for Youth events
7	NFN	05.02.2010	9 motions for world congress, to be discussed
8	FEN	13.02.2010	Motion about EuNatCom (to be or not to be)
9.1	DFK	15.02.2010	Motion for interfederal meeting
9.2	DFK	15.02.2010	Answer to Ismaels report dated 10.01.2010
9.3	DFK	15.02.2010	Answer to the motion of FENAIT
9.4	DFK	15.02.2010	Answer to the motion of FEN dated 13.02.2010
9.5	DFK	15.02.2010	Remarks conc. FLN motion dated 22.01.2010
10	SNF	16.02.2010	Proposal of certification. (not a motion, just for info)
11	FFN	16.02.2010	Motion for the world congress, 3 items
12	FLN	17.02.2010	Motion for INF statute modifications
13	FLN	17.02.2010	Motion for INF internal rules modification
14	BN	18.02.2010	Motion for INF internal rules modification
15	FEN	23.02.2010	Motion from FEN (Arnaoutchot meeting)
16	FEN	25.02.2010	INF- Day without bathing suit (late)